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After relief of aortic valve obstruction by valvular replacement, the left ventricular mass is supposed to regress. In this study, we assessed the early evolution of left ventricular mass 3 months after operation in patients with aortic stenosis and concentric hypertrophy. Echocardiographic study and measurements were performed in 27 patients (8 women; mean age 62 ± 12 years), according to the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography. Hypertrophy was defined as concentric when the ratio of left ventricle wall thickness to left ventricle short axis radius was more than 0.45. After surgery, we observed a significant reduction in left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, interventricular and posterior wall thickness; the left ventricular mass index reduction was also significant (from 141 ± 36 g/m² to 107 ± 29 g/m², p < 0.05).

These results already show a significant regression of left ventricular mass 3 months after aortic valve replacement in patients with aortic stenosis accompanied by left ventricular concentric hypertrophy. J Clin Basic Cardiol 2002; 5: 101–102.
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In aortic stenosis (AS), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is one of the fundamental mechanisms of adaptation as a result of an increased afterload [1]. Even though initially this is an useful compensatory process, it may lead to progressive myocardial failure [2] due to the increased susceptibility of the hypertrophied myocardium to ischaemia [3]. Aortic valve replacement (AVR) has produced a dramatic change in the natural history of AS. In this context, measurement of the degree of LVH in patients with AS, particularly the assessment of the regression of such hypertrophy after valve replacement is of major importance [4]. In the previous studies [5–7], it was found that LVH regression is a time-consuming process and occurs over a period of several months.

The aim of the present study is to clarify the degree of short-term reversal of LVH after 3 months of AVR. To this end we designed a prospective study in which we planned to examine the left ventricular mass index (LVMI) pre-operatively and after 3 months of AVR in patients with aortic stenosis and echocardiographically confirmed LVH.

Materials and Methods

The patient population comprised 52 consecutive patients with aortic stenosis who were admitted to the Division of Cardiovascular Surgery at Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV) for aortic valve replacement. 25 patients were excluded from the study because of the technically difficult echocardiographic study, abnormality of regional wall motion, asymmetrical LVH, severe left ventricular dilatation (> 32 mm/m²), evidence of hypertension or concomitant therapy. The remaining 27 patients with concentric LVH (8 women, 19 men, mean age 62 ± 12 years) were enrolled to the study.

Echocardiography

Two-dimensional echocardiographic studies were performed using a Hewlett-Packard Sonos 1500 (Hewlett-Packard company, Andover, MA, USA) equipped with 2.5 or 3.5 MHz probes for imaging. Studies were recorded on 0.5-inch videotape (Panasonic 750 D) for storage and review. The patients were examined at the left lateral position with a 30° elevated bed-head. Special care was taken to avoid misleading angulations of the left ventricular long axis. M-mode measurements were made at mid-papillary muscle level, in the short axis view.

Concentric LVH was defined as the ratio of LV septum to LV radius > 0.45; M-mode measurements were made at mid-papillary muscle level, in the short axis view.

The left ventricular mass (LVM) was estimated by the formula of Devereaux as 1.04 [(IVST + PWT + LVEDD)³ – (LVEDD)³] + 0.8 + 0.6 [9], and was divided by the body surface to drive the LVM index (LVMI). There were no significant changes in body weight that would reduce LVMI and influence the results.

Surgery

All the patients had a successful aortic valve replacement with bileaflet mechanical valves (21–29 mm), St. Jude Medical or ATS (Advancing The Standard).

Statistical analysis

Changes in mass and the other parameters over time were assessed by the paired t-test. All values are expressed as mean ± SD. A p < 0.05 was used to identify significant results.

Results

The values of the parameters before and after the aortic valve replacement (AVR) are shown in Table 1. The changes in LV wall thickness were more obvious than those seen in LV diameters. There was a reduction of approximately 11 % in...
In a more recent study, Christakis at al. [14] reported a significant reduction in LVMl from 141.4 ± 45.2 g/m² to 127.5 ± 32.8 g/m² very soon after AVR (approximately 5 days). In several other studies comparing the regression of LVM in different types of implanted prosthetic valves early regression in LVH was confirmed [15–17]. It was interesting to find that in the study performed by Lund et al. [17] only in 18 % of the patients were the values of LVMl reduced to normal after 3 months of AVR. However, in most of our patients LVMl was detected to be within normal limits at the same period of time after AVR.

In relation to our patient in whom a progression in LVM was detected, the reason for that outcome was explained by the finding of LVEDD being markedly increased after AVR. Since an apparent enlargement in LV makes LV look more spherical than it used to be, an overestimation in the calculation of the LVM may occur.

As may be concluded from the results of all these studies, in patients with AS, AVR causes a significant reduction in LVM very early, within a period of several weeks or months. Our study confirms this finding, emphasizing the importance of the regression in the concentric hypertrophy that plays an important role in the process of “remodeling” of the myocardium. However, the clinical influence of this regression on the prognosis of the patients is still uncertain and remains to be investigated further.

### Table 1. Changes in the parameters of LV in 27 patients before and after 3 months of AVR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IVST (mm)</th>
<th>LVEDD (mm)</th>
<th>LVM (g)</th>
<th>LVMl (g/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before AVR</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>50.1 ± 7</td>
<td>141 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After AVR</td>
<td>11.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>47.2 ± 5</td>
<td>107 ± 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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