Role of PET Imaging in Patients with High-Grade Gliomas undergoing anti-angiogenic Therapy with Bevacizumab – Review of the Literature and Case Report
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Introduction

High-grade gliomas (HGG) are highly aggressive brain tumours with limited treatment options. The most frequent primary brain tumour, accounting for 20% of all intracranial tumours, is glioblastoma multiforme (WHO grade IV). Despite recent advances in combination therapy including surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy, the prognosis remains very poor [1]. An important feature of tumour aggressiveness is increased tumour neovascularisation driven by the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway [2]. Tumour vessels are characterised by structural abnormalities that lead to an increase in the permeability of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), thus causing complications like tumour oedema and compression of adjacent structures. Under the premise of arresting tumour progression and local complications by inhibiting pro-angiogenic growth factors [3], the anti-angiogenic drug bevacizumab has been introduced into therapy of recurrent glioblastoma [4, 5] and has been approved by the FDA for this indication.

Under treatment with bevacizumab, high response rates based on morphological imaging using the conventional Macdonald criteria [6] have been reported, ranging from 30–60% [7]. It must be borne in mind, however, that these response rates are based on a normalisation of the BBB that might not represent true tumour regression, and thus are described as "pseudo-response" [8]. There is growing evidence that bevacizumab may alter the recurrence pattern of malignant gliomas in MRI imaging by suppressing gadolinium-enhancing tumour recurrence more effectively than it suppresses non-enhancing, infiltrative tumour growth [9]. To enable a more precise response assessment, new criteria have been established for response assessment in neuro-oncology (RANO) that include T2-based fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI sequences [10]. However, the morphological changes seen in these imaging sequences also include multiple non-specific changes like radiation-induced gliosis, peritumoural oedema, ischemia, and demyelination [11]. In this context, positron emission tomography (PET) with various tracers has been established to improve diagnostics, response assessment, and therapy planning.

We present an exemplary case of a patient with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme who was treated with bevacizumab. This case highlights the usefulness of PET imaging in response assessment compared to MRI. Furthermore, we give an overview of the current literature regarding PET imaging in patients treated with this promising new therapeutic agent.

Molecular Mechanisms of Bevacizumab

VEGF is a vascular endothelial growth factor correlated with pathological angiogenesis and plays an important role especially in highly vascularised tumours like glioblastoma. The extent of proliferation in this tumour entity has been shown to correlate with an increased recurrence and poor survival [12]. Moreover, a direct relationship between VEGF over-expression and poor prognosis has been reported [13]. Originating from the tumour bulk, glioblastoma cells migrate along normal vascular structures into adjacent brain regions [14], thus making VEGF a promising target for therapeutic agents. Preclinical studies accordingly showed that bevacizumab inhibits tumour growth as a single-agent therapy or in combination with cytotoxic agents [15]. Bevacizumab and other anti-angiogenic agents that bind and inactivate VEGF, including cediranib (AZD2171), afibercept (VEGF Trap), XL184, and cilengitide (EMD 121974), are thus being evaluated as a possible treatment option for use in recurrent and possibly also newly diagnosed glioblastoma.
Therapeutic Studies with Bevacizumab in Glioblastoma

The first phase-II study on bevacizumab and irinotecan (BEV/IR) in recurrent glioblastoma by Vredenburgh et al proved the feasibility of this regime and revealed an improvement in 6-months progression-free survival (PFS) of 46% compared with historical data [4]. The response rate was 57%, toxicity was moderate. Regarding side-effects, the authors reported thromboembolic complications in 4 patients and one CNS haemorrhage [4]. The response rate in the trial of Kreisl et al examining 56 patients was 35% based on the Macdonald criteria, 6-months PFS was 29% [16]. In addition to an increase in PFS, a clinical benefit was evident in terms of decreased cerebral oedema in 24 patients (50%). 15 patients were able to decrease corticosteroids and 25 patients (52%) had improved neurologic symptoms. Based on these 2 trials, the FDA granted accelerated approval of bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme in May 2009.

The BRAIN study [5] confirmed that bevacizumab, alone or in combination with irinotecan, was well-tolerated and effective in recurrent glioblastoma. This was a non-comparative trial. The randomised design was intended only to prevent bias in treatment assignment. Additionally, data of the BRAIN study were analysed by Vredenburgh et al to evaluate whether bevacizumab may have corticosteroid-sparing effects [17]. The results showed sustained reduction of corticosteroids in 30% of the bevacizumab-alone group and 20% of the bevacizumab-plus-irinotecan group, respectively. However, the data has to be interpreted cautiously due to the exploratory nature of the analysis.

Other studies reported bevacizumab as an effective treatment option in radionecrosis. In a series of 6 patients with biopsy-proven cerebral radiation necrosis treated with bevacizumab, MRI follow-up demonstrated radiographic response in all patients with an average reduction of 79% for the post-gadolinium studies and 49% for the FLAIR images and was noted for a mean follow-up time of up to 5.9 months [18].

First results of 2 phase-III trials were reported at the ASCO meeting in June 2013 and were published in the New England Journal of Medicine in February 2014 [19, 20]. The RTOG study 0825 [19] reported that addition of bevacizumab to the standard treatment regime with temozolomide for newly diagnosed GBM did not improve overall survival. A small effect was seen regarding prolonged PFS, but this did not reach the significance criterion. The patient group receiving bevacizumab even showed a decline of neurocognitive status. These results discourage the use of bevacizumab for patients with the best prognosis at the outset. The analysis did not identify a group of patients who experienced benefit from first-line bevacizumab.

AVAgl.io, a phase-III registration trial including > 920 patients worldwide, revealed similar findings for OS. The double-blind, randomised trial evaluates the benefit of an addition of bevacizumab to the standard of care in the first-line treatment of patients with glioblastoma. Median overall survival in each arm was 17 months. Median PFS was 10.6 months in the interventional arm with bevacizumab vs 6.2 months in the control arm (p < 0.001). In contrast to the RTOG trial, this difference was statistically significant. Even more, health-related quality of life was improved for patients receiving bevacizumab in the AVAglio trial [20] in contrast to the RTOG trial.

More encouraging results were reported for the GLARIUS study involving 182 MGMT unmethylated glioblastoma patients. Patients in the experimental arm received 4 cycles of bevacizumab over 6 weeks of radiation, then bevacizumab plus irinotecan were administered every 2 weeks until progression. At 6 months, PFS was significantly higher with BEV/IR: 9.74 vs 5.99 months (p < 0.0001). Overall survival was also significantly longer: 16.6 vs 14.8 months (p = 0.031). The experimental arm also required less corticosteroids [21].

Taken together, there is growing evidence for the usefulness of bevacizumab in the treatment of glioblastoma with regard to PFS. However, the expectations of the community regarding overall survival were not fulfilled by the 2 phase-III trials. Additionally, it is even not clear whether bevacizumab improves or impairs the neurological condition of the patients.

Due to high costs, severe side effects, and complicated image interpretation, reliable biomarkers for therapy monitoring are required. PET has emerged as a very promising tool in this field.

Role of PET Imaging in Response Assessment to Bevacizumab Therapy

PET imaging is increasingly used in HGG. It relies on the fact that it can visualise functional changes in tumour tissue rather than morphological details. Multiple different features of brain tumours can be addressed, e.g., glucose metabolism, amino-acid uptake, or proliferation activity. These can serve as valuable biomarkers for diagnostics and response assessment.

Glucose Metabolism

The most widely used PET tracer in oncology is 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18FDG). The use of 18FDG-PET in brain tumours was reviewed by a National Comprehensive Cancer (NCCN) panel in 2009. Based on current evidence and consensus, a role for 18FDG-PET in the management of brain tumours was proposed for diagnosis, staging/restaging, prognosis, and possibly for treatment planning and response monitoring [22]. 18FDG-PET relies on an increased glycolytic metabolism of glial tumour cells mediated by increased hexokinase activity [23] and over-expression of glucose transporters [24] (Table 1). Furthermore, 18F-FDG uptake is strongly correlated with angiogenesis markers in gliomas [25]. Thus, it could serve as a biomarker for tumour neovascularisation. There is a study that investigates 18FDG-PET for treatment monitoring of high-grade gliomas under treatment with bevacizumab and irinotecan [26]. In this study, Colavolpe et al reported that 18FDG-PET was the most powerful predictor of OS and PFS in a group of 25 patients in both uni- and multivariate analysis (p < 0.001). Interestingly, in multivariate analysis, 18FDG-PET performed better in predicting survival than histological grading, steroid
Despite the usefulness of 18FDG-PET in general oncology, it is limited as a tracer in brain neoplasms due to its physiological uptake of grey matter, where small tumours can be masked and low-grade tumours may not be discernible from white matter due to lower uptake. Therefore, multiple other biomarkers have been investigated that have low uptake in normal brain tissue and thus allow for better contrast in PET imaging. Among the most promising PET tracers are radio-labelled amino-acids (AA), such as 18F-fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine (FET), whose half-life of 109 minutes makes it more readily available than the previously widely used 11C-methionine (MET).

Amino-Acid Uptake

Despite the usefulness of 18FDG-PET in general oncology, it is limited as a tracer in brain neoplasms due to its physiological uptake of grey matter, where small tumours can be masked and low-grade tumours may not be discernible from white matter due to lower uptake. Therefore, multiple other biomarkers have been investigated that have low uptake in normal brain tissue and thus allow for better contrast in PET imaging. Among the most promising PET tracers are radio-labelled amino-acids (AA), such as 18F-fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine (FET), whose half-life of 109 minutes makes it more readily available than the previously widely used 11C-methionine (MET). Uptake of radio-labelled AA is fairly low in normal brain tissue [28, 29]. Increased AA uptake in gliomas is related to an over-expression of L-type amino-acid transporters in the cell membrane [30, 31] (Table 1). These carriers are particularly up-regulated in HGG and it has been suggested in a rat model that tumours can stimulate transporter expression, especially in their vasculature [32]. 18F-FET-PET is increasingly used as a diagnostic agent for detection of tumour recurrence and to exclude radiation necrosis, where MRI is of low specificity [28, 29, 33]. Moreover, it facilitates radiation therapy planning and thus could lead to better overall survival. In the first study on this issue with 44 patients with recurrent high-grade gliomas, fractioned stereotactic radiotherapy was performed after definition of the tumour volume using MET-PET/MRI/CT or merely MRI/CT. Patients from the first group had, in an univariate analysis, a significantly longer survival compared to those patients whose treatment was based on MRI/CT only [34]. Ongoing studies like the multicentre, randomised, phase-II German GLIAA study (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01252459) will examine if 18F-FET-PET-based radiotherapy planning can truly increase overall survival.

In addition to radiation therapy planning, 18F-FET PET could play a major role in the assessment of response in patients undergoing therapy with bevacizumab. Case reports hint that 18F-FET-PET may indicate therapy failure earlier than MRI [35, 36]. There are 2 studies that suggest that 18F-FET-PET could serve as a reliable biomarker to predict treatment failure and is superior to MRI based on RANO criteria for the detection of tumour progression [37, 38]. In the study of Galldiks et al [37], PET-PET predicted a significantly longer PFS and OS than RANO criteria-based MRI reading. Furthermore, in 40 % of the patients, PET-PET was discordant with MRI and revealed treatment failure earlier than MRI (median time benefit 10.5 weeks). Similar results have been reported by Hutterer et al [38], where in 36.4 % of all cases, FET-PET and MRI were discordant and PET was able to detect treatment failure earlier than MRI. FET-PET was also a very good predictor of therapy response (for details see Table 2). Both authors used identical criteria for therapy response (45-% reduction of tumour volume, as defined by Hutterer et al [38]) and similarly conclude that 18F-FET-PET could serve as a valuable biomarker to detect treatment failure earlier than conventional imaging methods. In addition, a recent study by Heinzl et al [39] has demonstrated that using 18F-FET-PET to detect therapy failure in HGG treated with bevacizumab is actually cost-effective, reducing both costs and therapy-associated side effects where there is no benefit to be expected. In this study, the number needed to diagnose was as low as 2.4 [39]. As a footnote, 18F-FET-PET has also been reported to be of good value in therapy monitoring of patients with rare indications like progressive brain-stem gliomas [40].
PET-Imaging in Patients with High-grade Gliomas

Table 2. Overview of studies examining PET as a biomarker for response assessment in HGG treated with bevacizumab

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Tracer</th>
<th>PET response criteria</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>PD in MRI based on RANO criteria</th>
<th>Non-responders in PET</th>
<th>PFS responders vs non-responders (PET)</th>
<th>OS responders vs non-responders (PET)</th>
<th>Time benefit (PET vs MRI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hutterer et al, 2011</td>
<td>BEV/IR</td>
<td>18F-FET</td>
<td>Reduction &gt; 45% of tumour volume</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>10.24 vs 4.1 mo (p = 0.025)</td>
<td>11.0 vs 5.85 mo (compared to MRI responders) (p = 0.12)</td>
<td>9 wk (range: 4–14 wk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallidiko et al, 2012</td>
<td>BEV/IR</td>
<td>18F-FET</td>
<td>Reduction &gt; 45% of tumour volume</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9 vs 3 mo (p = 0.001)</td>
<td>23.0 vs 3.5 mo (p = 0.001)</td>
<td>10.5 wk (range: 6–12 wk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al, 2007</td>
<td>BEV/IR</td>
<td>18F-FLT</td>
<td>&gt; 25% reduction of FLT uptake</td>
<td>21 (19 eligible)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>“Tendency for prolonged PFS” (p = 0.061)</td>
<td>10.8 vs 3.4 mo (p = 0.003)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarzenberg et al, 2012</td>
<td>BEV/IR or BEV alone (n = 3)</td>
<td>18F-FLT</td>
<td>&gt; 25% reduction of FLT uptake</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>“PET predictive for PFS” (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>12.5 vs 3.8 mo (p &lt; 0.001) (12.9 vs 9.0 using MRI)</td>
<td>“PET earlier” (not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris et al, 2012</td>
<td>BEV/IR or BEV alone (n = 2)</td>
<td>18F-FLT and/or 18F-DOPA</td>
<td>Voxel-wise changes in predefined regions</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>“18F-DOPA PET stratified short- and long-term PFS”</td>
<td>“18F-DOPA PET stratified short- and long-term OS”</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colavolpe et al, 2012</td>
<td>BEV/IR</td>
<td>18F-FDG</td>
<td>SUVmax and TLC ratio</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>“FDG-PET most significant predictor of PFS” (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>“FDG-PET most significant predictor of PFS” (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>“FDG uptake may predict MRI response”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BEV: bevacizumab; IR: irinotecan; PD: progressive disease; PFS: progression-free survival; OS: overall survival; mo: months; wk: weeks; na: not available; RANO: response assessment in neuro-oncology; TLC: SUV ratio of tumour-to-contralateral hemisphere reference. Time benefit is time interval between diagnosis of progressive disease in PET vs MRI, when PET was able to detect progressive disease earlier. For details, see text.

Proliferation Activity

In addition to radio-labelled amino-acids, 3'-deoxy-3'-18F-fluorothymidine PET (18F-FLT) is increasingly used for therapy monitoring in brain tumours. 18F-FLT is a thymidine analogue that visualises tumour cell proliferation [41]. Uptake of FLT correlates with the activity of thymidine-1-kinase which is expressed during the DNA synthesis phase of tumour cells [42]. After phosphorylation, FLT is trapped inside the cell [43]. FLT uptake correlates with the Ki-67 proliferation index and FLT uptake has been shown to correlate with tumour grading and cell proliferation [44] (Table 1). It has been successfully investigated in brain malignancies [45, 46] and has been demonstrated to predict overall survival in HGG patients [47].

18F-FLT has also been investigated for its utility in treatment response assessment in HGG undergoing therapy with bevacizumab: in preclinical studies, 18F-FLT has been shown to be a sensitive marker of treatment efficacy in a rat model [48]. In this study, it was superior to 18F-FDG regarding evaluation of treatment efficacy. First clinical data were reported as early as 2007: in a pilot study with 21 HGG patients, Chen et al were able to demonstrate that 18F-FLT-PET is highly predictive of overall survival as early as 6 weeks after treatment initiation [49]. Response was defined as a > 25%- reduction of FLT uptake in the tumour mass compared to pre-treatment scans. In 2011, another study confirmed these results [50]. Using the same criteria, Schwarzenberg et al reported that changes in tumour 18F-FLT uptake were highly predictive of PFS and OS in patients with recurrent malignant glioma undergoing bevacizumab therapy and FLT-PET was more predictive than MRI for early treatment response [50]. In another study, 18F-FLT kinetics were analysed in recurrent HGG in 15 patients, and it reported that a persistently decreased 18F-FLT uptake (by means of measurements after 2 and 6 weeks) in the tumour was a predictor for longer survival [51].

There is one study that combines the amino-acid 3,4-dihydroxy-6-[18F]-fluoro-L-phenylalanine ([18F]-DOPA) with 18F-FLT-PET [52]. Here, voxel-wise changes in tracer uptake were analysed in 24 patients with HGG. Harris et al reported that voxel-wise increase in PET uptake in areas of pre-treatment contrast enhancement defined by MRI stratified 3-month progression-free survival and 6-month overall survival (OS)
Conclusion

PET imaging is increasingly used in brain tumour imaging. Many different functional aspects of tumour growth and metabolism can be investigated and used for diagnosis, treatment planning, and response assessment. Use of novel therapeutic agents like bevacizumab that severely alter tumour appearance in conventional imaging requires reliable biomarkers for therapy monitoring, as demonstrated by the case presented in this paper. PET is a promising, possibly cost-efficient method to reliably assess tumour response to bevacizumab therapy and may thus be included in the clinical management of recurrent high-grade gliomas treated with anti-angiogenic drugs.
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After radiation (Figure 1D), $^{18}$F-FET-PET presented a small decrease of uptake but still a visible tumour mass. In addition, progressive infiltration of the mesencephalon was seen, as compared to a small, focal enhancing lesion on MRI (Figure 2). In contrast, MRI showed only minimal contrast enhancement without relevant changes to the MRI prior to re-irradiation. The patient died 16 months after primary diagnosis. This case illustrates the often discordant results between contrast enhancement in MRI and amino-acid uptake in PET and demonstrates the clinical utility of PET for response assessment and therapy management.

We assume that the decrease of contrast enhancement was due to a reduction of the brain-blood barrier damage by bevacizumab and not due to a real tumour reduction. However, it was not possible to decide whether the MRI or the PET scan showed the real tumour dimension. An autopsy was not performed.