Long-term results of coronary balloon angioplasty in unstable angina pectoris
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Increased levels of systemic inflammatory markers, that predate the acute event in many patients with unstable angina pectoris, may suggest a tendency for recurrent events in unstable patients. We examined the long-term (10–12 years) outcome of a cohort of patients revascularized by balloon angioplasty for stable or unstable angina pectoris in 1984–1986. Despite a small early hazard in unstable patients subsequent survival was the same in both groups (71 % in unstable and 81 % in stable patients at 11 years, NS). Event-free survival (alive, no myocardial infarction or repeat revascularization) was the same in both groups (32 % at 10 years). Even after subgroup analysis using the Braunwald classification we found no tendency for a greater incidence of late events in the unstable cohort. J Clin Basic Cardiol 2000; 3: 99–101.
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Patients and methods
During the 3 year period 1984–86 coronary balloon angioplasty was attempted in 224 consecutive patients and completed in 199 of them. In 14 patients the procedure was performed in the context of recent acute myocardial infarction without evidence of recurrent angina or ischaemia and these patients were excluded from the present analysis. Unstable angina was present in 64 patients and stable angina in 121. Baseline clinical and angiographic characteristics were similar in the stable and unstable groups (Table 1). Follow-up for survival was available in all patients at a mean of 11.1 ± 0.7 years.

Event-free survival was ascertained at a mean of 9.5 years. Long-term survival was assessed using Kaplan–Meier curves and the log rank test. Recurrent events (infarction or revascularization) were compared by annual event rates. Dichotomous variables were compared by 2 × 2 tables and continuous variables by Student’s t test. The study had greater than 80 % power to detect a clinically significant 20 % difference in survival and 20 % difference in event-free survival between the stable and unstable patient groups.

Results
There was a small early hazard in patients with unstable angina due to higher mortality in patients with post infarc-

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with stable and unstable angina pectoris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstable angina (n = 64)</th>
<th>Stable angina (n = 121)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>58.4 ± 9.2</td>
<td>55.9 ± 10.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45 (70.3 %)</td>
<td>94 (77.7 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous infarction</td>
<td>34 (53.1 %)</td>
<td>52 (43 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivessel disease</td>
<td>33 (51.6 %)</td>
<td>62 (51.2 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus*</td>
<td>13 (20.6 %)</td>
<td>25 (21 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension*</td>
<td>20 (31.7 %)</td>
<td>43 (36.1 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. (±SD) of systems dilated</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.48</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete revascularization</td>
<td>31 (61 %)</td>
<td>74 (61 %)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*unstable angina n = 63; stable angina n = 119
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tion angina and heart failure. Subsequent survival was the same for the stable and unstable groups so that at 11 years survival was 71% in unstable patients and 81% in stable patients, NS) (Fig. 1). The yearly rates of adverse events (acute infarction or revascularization) are shown in Fig. 2 averaged over each 2 year period. Event rates for stable and unstable patients were not significantly different at any time during the follow-up period. Event-free survival (alive without myocardial infarction or repeat revascularization) was the same in both cohorts (32% at 10 years).

We retrospectively defined subgroups of unstable patients at increased short term risk using the Braunwald classification and found a similar long-term survival in patients in Braunwald class 3 and classes 1 and 2 combined (85% vs 80% at 10 years, NS) and event-free survival (43% for both at 10 years). In a subgroup in which electrocardiographic (ECG) data were available and in whom ischaemic ECG changes were identified during the index hospitalization, 10 year survival was similar to those without these ECG changes (81% vs 85%, NS) and event-free survival was 56% vs 55% (NS).

**Discussion**

Increased risks were initially reported in patients undergoing angioplasty for unstable angina pectoris, due to an increased incidence of thrombus and instability of the plaque [15]. For this reason, we and many other centers usually attempt to stabilize patients prior to angiography, although it is not altogether proven [16, 17] that outcome is improved by delaying intervention. Stammen et al. [18] performed angioplasty in patients with unstable angina at a mean of 15 (range 1–76) days after hospital admission and reported a similar 6 month outcome to that in patients with stable angina.

A higher incidence of restenosis following balloon angioplasty in unstable patients has been described by some [19, 20] but not all [13] authors. Local lesion characteristics may influence the early response to angioplasty and late event rate [13, 14, 21–23]. In the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Angioplasty registry the cumulative event rate at 2 years was the same for unstable and stable patients [24], but at 5 years a higher crude mortality was reported in patients with rest angina than in unstable patients without rest angina and particularly in those with post-infarction angina [25].

The present analysis highlighted the potential early hazard of coronary balloon angioplasty in patients with Class IIIB unstable angina in the mid-1980’s, but showed quite clearly that the later course is not different from that of stable patients. The findings confirmed the shorter-term reports that coronary angioplasty was effective in patients with unstable angina pectoris [13, 15, 24, 26] even though angioplasty of the obstructive coronary lesion does not prevent the systemic reaction and its local disruptive nature could amplify it. We did not observe an increased number of recurrent acute adverse events compared with patients treated for stable angina. Events were similar in the stable and unstable cohort when we examined freedom from any event or patient events on an annual basis, thereby examining and including recurrent events in the same patient.

The present study provided, then, no evidence that after an index episode of unstable angina patients were at increased long-term risk for further adverse events compared to stable patients after coronary balloon angioplasty. Many determinants of increased susceptibility of plaques to rupture have been identified such as a large lipid core, thin fibrous cap and evidence of macrophage infiltration and digestion of cap collagen [27–29]. Markers of acute systemic inflammation, increased coagulation tendency and infectious agents probably have some role in the aetiology or modulation of ischaemic syndromes [9, 11, 30–32], but factors modulating response to the acutely unstable plaque may be different to those responsible for repeat late coronary events. It appears that the beneficial effect of mechanical reperfusion far superceded any tendency to recurrent acute syndromes, albeit we did observe an increased tendency to restenosis in a subset of patients with multiple coronary irregularities, decreased coronary flow or angiographic intracoronary thrombus [13].

In the current era of antiplatelet glycoprotein 2b/3a inhibitors, clinical stabilization may be more readily achieved prior to intervention in unstable patients [33]. The CAPTURE study showed that use of the 2b/3a glycoprotein receptor blocker abciximab 24 hours before intervention decreased the risk of myocardial infarction [34]. In addition, coronary stenting, and the greatly improved imaging by radiography and intravascular ultrasound in recent years, allow for optimization of the dilated lesion at the time of percutaneous intervention [35]. These advances in the management of high risk unstable patients should further de-
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Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves of survival for patient cohorts with stable and unstable angina pectoris. After a small early fall in survival of unstable patients the curves remained parallel throughout the follow-up period, with no difference in long-term survival between the 2 groups.
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Figure 2. Annualized event rate (averaged for each 2 year period) in patients with unstable and stable angina pectoris. There was no difference in recurrent events between the 2 groups.
crease the potential disadvantage of performing angioplasty in the presence of an acute coronary syndrome.
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