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“The Rabbits are Prepared …” – The Development of Ethinylestradiol and Ethinyltestosterone

W. Frobenius

In an exciting scientific neck-and-neck race, European and American scientists in the late 1920s and early 1930s isolated the ovarian, placental, and testicular hormones. At the same time the constitution of the human sex steroids was elucidated. However, it soon emerged that with oral administration the therapeutic value of the natural substances was extremely limited. The first-pass effect in the estrogens, the sensitivity of progestosterone to gastric acid, and the short plasma half-lives of natural ovarian hormones made treatment with them largely ineffective. The development by Hans Herloff Inhoffen and Walter Hohlweg of the orally effective sex steroids ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone (ethisterone) in Berlin in 1937 can therefore be regarded as a milestone in the history of gynecological endocrinology. Ethinylestradiol is found even today as a highly effective estrogen component in almost all combined oral contraceptives. Ethinyltestosterone was the very first synthetic gestagen and can be regarded as the progenitor of the modern steroids in the 19-nortestosterone series. The present study describes details of the development of these two steroids and the history of their reception in the field of gynecology. In addition to the scientific literature, previously examined archival materials and German and American patent specifications were used in the study. The results show several surprising aspects, which are discussed in detail. J Reproduktionsmed Endokrinol 2011; 8 (Special Issue 1): 32–57.
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1 In the literature written in German, the English way of spelling the names of substances is increasingly adopted, but this is much less often the case where the natural estrogens are concerned. In the present work – above all in the case of the presentation of older investigations – the designation “Asthinylöstradiol” is also used. The subject under discussion is always the substance ethinylated in the 17α-position, formerly defined as 17α-ethynyl-1,3,5(10)-estratriene-3,17β-diol, but now defined as 19-Nor-17α-pregna-1,3,5(10)-trien-20-yne-3,17-diol.

2 See reviews on the composition of oral contraceptives (e.g. the “Rote Liste” as well as text- and hand-books of gynaecology). Mestranol, the 3-methylene ether of ethinylestradiol, that was likewise used as an estrogen component of oral contraceptives, first becomes active after demethylation. The behaviour of the 3-cyclopentyl ether, also known as Quinestrol, is analogous.


5 The company was founded by Ernst Schering (1824–1889) in North Berlin as a “Grüne Apotheke”. In 1855 he expanded the pharmacy laboratory into a works manufacturing chemical and pharmaceutical products. In 1871 it was converted to the “Chemische Fabrik auf Actien (vorm. E. Schering)”. In 1927 it was amalgamated with the “Chemische Fabrik von Kahlbaum GmbH” and the name of the company was changed to “Scherings-Kahlbaum AG”. From 1937 the firm was called Schering AG. In 2006 the Schering AG was taken over by the Bayer AG, then named “Bayer Schering Pharma AG”. Since 2011 the trade name Schering has been abandoned for conversion to “Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals”. Schering-Archive: www.wirtschaftsarchivportal.de/archive/details/id/34. See in addition e.g., [Holländer H. Geschichte der Schering Aktiengesellschaft. Herausgegeben von der Schering AG Berlin. Gedruckt und verlegt bei Erich Blaschke, Berlin, 1955].
Appropriate publications in 1938 and 1939 made ethinylestradiol known to the professional world. However, years were still to pass before this estrogen could be introduced into therapy.

Hitherto, historians have not pointed out that examples of the preparation of the estrogen derivative are to be found in patents claimed by Schering AG in the years 1935 and 1936. In the corresponding patent specifications indications of the physiological action of the substance were given⁶.

Therefore the Schering chemists Arthur Serini, Lothar Strassberger and Josef Kathol seemed to be credited with invention of the process whereby ethinyl estradiol was produced⁷.

### The First Synthetic Gestagen

Closely coupled to their work on ethinylestradiol, Hohlweg and Inhoffen developed ethinyltestosterone⁸, the first synthetic preparation of a gestagen. Although this hormone derivative was used in therapy for just a few years, considered historically its appearance has tremendous importance. It is the precursor of a large group of artificial gestagens that can be delivered in tablet form, and which are at present indispensable for treatment with sexual hormones⁹.

The present paper describes in detail the discovery and reception of these two ethinyl compounds. Starting point are presentations of the patents and publications on the ethinylation of steroids, which preceded the work, now considered classic, of Hohlweg and Inhoffen. Thereafter reported on will be the publications of Hohlweg and Inhoffen, as well as the significant clinical investigations which led to the introduction into therapy of ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone. Appropriate to its lasting practical importance, principal attention will then focus on the estrogen derivative.

The story of the discovery and reception of the two ethinyl steroids gives rise to many questions. For example, how do we account for the fact that processes for the production of ethinylestradiol were to be found in patents dated as early as 1935 and 1936? Why did it take so long for the estrogen derivative to be introduced into therapy? A discussion of these and other viewpoints occurs in the closing chapter.

For the present study original papers were viewed, so far as possible. This applies above all to chemical, physiological and clinical investigations directly linked to the ethinyl compounds. To discern the major relationships, however, general reviews had to be drawn upon, in which historical importance has not always been taken into account to a desirable extent. Many of the events under consideration are, moreover, dealt with only in part in a scientific fashion: even important questions of priority still await detailed analysis. This circumstance means that many questions remain unanswered.

Access to archives also presented problems. During the war years numerous documents were irrecoverably lost. This situation became painfully obvious during the attempt to obtain biographical information about the chemists working at Schering on research into the steroid hormones.

### Synthesis, Biological Testing and Presentation of the Substance

**On the Ethinylation of Steroids**

By the mid-1930s the female gonadal hormones had been purified and their constitution elucidated. Joint efforts by biochemists, physiologists and medical men had led to the establishment of a rational therapy for numerous disturbances of the female hormone metabolism. The hormone preparations available for the treatment, however, left much to be desired, and for their isolation, enormous amounts of biological raw material were necessary. This made production complicated and expensive. Furthermore, it had become apparent that for physiological reasons there were strict limits to the oral use of estrogens¹⁰.

Progesterone could be administered only by injection.

With purification and elucidation of the constitution of the ovarian hormones, efforts to achieve partial or total syntheses of these substances had begun. At the same time ways were sought to achieve an increase in the hormonal activity by means of changes to the structure of the molecules of the estrogens. Through the discovery that the physiologically significant hormones of the supra-renal cortex belong to the steroids, research into the chemistry of this class of substances experienced enormous growth. Every effort to find simpler and cheaper ways of synthesizing these substances appeared justified. This – as will become apparent – would greatly benefit gynaecological endocrinology.

Within the framework of the efforts to obtain more effective estrogenic substances, by the mid-1930s various estrogenic acids had been prepared, besides the already mentioned benzoates of estrone and of estradiol. The significance of these compounds for the synthesis of ethinylestradiol and of ethinyltestosterone will be discussed later¹¹.

The ethinylation of steroids, which was begun about 1935 and is the centre of interest in this discussion, initially served two objectives. On the one hand, the introduction of acetylene groups into certain steroids made possible the preparation of tertiary alcohols, from which it

---

⁶ See in addition pp. 34–7.
⁷ For biographical data see: p. 5, footnote (Serini) and p. 34, footnotes (Serini, Strassberger, Kathol).
⁸ The substance was first called “pregneninolon” by Hohlweg and Inhoffen because of its progesterone-like action. It was however – as became clear later – not a compound of the progesterone series, because the two carbon atoms of the ethinyl group at C17 are in the α-position. In ignorance of this circumstance, one also spoke of anhydrohydroxyprogesterone. Later the designation ethinyltestosterone or ethisterone (17α-hydroxy-17β-ethinyl-4-en-20-yn-3-one) was adopted.
⁹ The discussion here is of the so-called 19-nor-steroids, which are testosterone derivatives, and which are demethylated at C10 (removal of C19) and carry an ethinyl group in the C-17α-position, as in the compound already prepared by Hohlweg and Inhoffen. The first of this group was developed by Djerassi and his colleagues at the beginning of the 50s, with norethisterone. See in addition [Fieser LF, Fieser M. Steroids. Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York, Chapman and Hall, London, 1959: 951].
¹⁰ Here, only the estrogens occurring in women are considered. The so-called conjugated estrogens, that are obtained from the urine of pregnant mares, behave differently. They contain, inter alia, the equilins, that do not occur in women, and their metabolism is delayed. The first-pass effect thus does not play a great part. See in addition [Kuhl H, Taubert HD. Das Klimakterium. Pathophysiologie, Klinik, Therapie. Thieme-Verlag, Stuttgart-New York, 1987: p. 57 et 93].
¹¹ See in addition p. 37.
was hoped increased physiological activity would arise. On the other hand the ethinylated steroids would serve as starting materials for syntheses that would go further.

There are two sources of information as regards the early work on the ethinylation of steroids: the scientific literature and the patent specifications. The latter are gaining in importance for historiography, because investigations that lead to patents are not in every case published anywhere else. Drawing upon patents to clarify questions of priority proved, however, problematic, at least for the period before 1968. The reasons for this will be discussed in more detail later.12

Here it must only be remembered that the description of a substance – in this case, ethinylestradiol – in a patent in the 1930s does not inevitably mean that at the date of the application it was actually already prepared. Worthy of protection at that time were processes only, but not the individual substances preparable thereby. Moreover then, as also today, during the years before the patent is granted, examples of synthesis can be subsequently filed.13

The Early Patents

The patent in which a preparation of ethinylestradiol from estrone is described for the first time, was filed in 1935. It was granted to Schering AG in Berlin. Named as inventors of the “Process or the preparation of tertiary carbins of the cyclopentanopolyhydrophenanthrene series” are Arthur Serini14 and Lothar Strasserberger15.

The application was dated 10th November, 1935 and the granting of the patent was made known on the 3rd December, 1942. As examples of the process, besides the preparation of ethinylestradiol, are cited four other syntheses with starting materials from the androstan series.16

As the purpose of the process, the patent specification states very generally that the reaction products achieved should “[...] find use as such or as intermediates for the preparation of other physiologically valuable substances”. It goes on more specifically: “[...] e.g. substances with the effectiveness of masculine sex hormones to be transformed into such with the effectiveness of female sex hormones. In other cases [...] the per oral effectiveness compared to the starting material will be considerably increased”17.

The chemical details of the process described in the patent will be discussed here only insofar as they are of interest to the present work. Crucial is the fact that the tertiary alcohol group striven for by Serini and Strassberger was achieved, amongst other ways, by ethinylation of the keto group of steroids in position C17. Thus the inventors went from estrone to ethinylestradiol. This reaction appears in the patent specification also with the structural formulas.

The conversion of estrone requires parts of magnesium, phenyl bromide and ether to be gently boiled for 30h whilst acetylene is continuously passed through. Then estrogen dissolved in ether is added. After 3 days the conversion products formed are hydrolysed the ketone that has not converted is removed and the end product is crystallised from methanol. The yield from their process, according to Serini and Strassberger, is 30%.

In the patent, details are given of the physiological importance of the ethinyl estradiol thus prepared: “When administered subcutaneously it displays an activity that is equal to that of estradiol (1 RE = 0.1 γ) and in the case of oral administration its activity is considerably greater than that of estradiol (1 RE = 3 γ); ethinylestradiol 1 RE= 0.50 γ (“)18.

The second patent, which is dated before the classic publications of Hohlweg and Inhoffen and in which ethinylestradiol is described, was applied for on 22nd September, 1936. It likewise was granted to Schering AG and names as inventors Josef Kathol19.

Almost analogously to the patent specification by Serini and Strassberger, the title is: “Process for the preparation of tertiary alcohols of the cyclopentanopolyhydrophenanthrene series”20. The granting of the patent was disclosed on 14th September, 1939.

Specially noteworthy in this patent specification is that it gives two examples for the conversion to ethinyl estradiol from estrone: one with a yield of only 3% and the second with a yield of over 90%. In this case the synthesis with the high yield does not correspond to the in all eight preceding examples of general process description. As will be apparent, it is much more a matter of a considerable modification.

Only Low Yield

In the first example, 1 g of “follicular hormone acetate” is dissolved in abso-
lute ether and brought to conversion with an excess of sodium amide. Then, it says in the patent specification, for several hours acetylene is led into the ethereal solution, until no more absorption takes place. The reaction product is then decomposed with water and the mixture obtained is extracted with ether. The starting material that is not converted and still contains keto groups, can be brought to separation with the aid of keto reagents. The yield of remaining “ethinylhydrofollicular hormone acetate” was about 30 mg\(^{21}\).

In the second example\(^{22}\), by contrast, potassium is dissolved in liquid ammonia (cooled with dry ice and acetone) and acetylene is passed until the blue colour disappears. Further, the patent says that a solution, or suspension, of 3 g of estrone in benzene and ether is then slowly added to it. The freezing mixture is removed, the batch is allowed to stand for 2 hours and further stirred continuously overnight. Then the reaction solution is treated with ice and water, acidified with sulphuric acid to a Congo acid reaction and the solution extracted 5 times with ether. The combined ether extracts are washed twice with water, once with 5% soda solution and again with water, until the wash water is neutral. Then the ether is evaporated, the residue dissolved in a little methanol and diluted with water. The separated product can be recrystallised from aqueous methanol. The yield amounted to 2.77 g. The melting point of the ethinylestradiol thus obtained was 142–144°C.

Of the properties of all prepared ethinyl compounds it is very broadly said that they are distinguished "either by a high physiological activity, that in many cases considerably exceeds that of the starting material, or they can serve as intermediates for the manufacture of other physiologically valuable compounds"\(^{23}\).

Details, such as are given in the patent by Serini and Strassberger for ethinylestradiol, are completely absent. Neither is reference made to their patent specification.

The processes presented in the two patents, in the outlined form, have apparently not been described in any scientific publication before 1937. Certainly, no references were found by looking through journals\(^{24}\) of those years, or consulting later publications on the ethinylation of steroids – with the exception of a footnote which will be discussed fully later, because it must be considered in connection with a claim for priority.

**The US Patent of Inhoffen and Hohlweg**

In contrast, that process for the ethinylation of estrone with a yield of more than 90% which is the highest yielding process given in the patent by Kathol, appears in a literal translation into English in a US patent granted to Inhoffen and Hohlweg in December, 1941. The patent specification carries the title “Tertiary alcohols of the estrone series and their derivatives and a process for their manufacture”\(^{25}\).

The application was submitted in Octo- ber, 1938. For the patent the priority of a German application on 25\(^{26}\) October, 1937 is claimed\(^{26}\).

A comparison of the US patent with the cited patent specification by Kathol shows significant differences in the general process description which precedes the examples. Whereas in the patent by Hohlweg and Inhoffen it is expressly emphasised that the conversion of the starting material with potassium acetylide dissolved in liquid ammonia guarantees the best yield, as already mentioned, in the case of Kathol there is no hint of this. He describes this method merely as the last of his eight examples. Also, the very generally expressed patent claims by Kathol appear to be little matched to this special process – quite in contrast to the claims of Inhoffen and Hohlweg in the American patent, which is completely devoted to it\(^ {27}\).

In the literature, papers on the ethinylation of steroids first appear in the second half of 1937. Whereas in the presented patents the introduction of the tertiary alcohol at C17 is the primary interest, now the concentration is on the additional carbon atoms coupled there with the ethinyl group. In this way a possible way of adding side chains appeared to open, which was to provide a good practicable partial synthesis of difficultly accessible steroid hormones.

### Papers of the Ruzicka Group

The first of these publications\(^ {28}\) was by the Swiss chemists Leopold Ruzicka\(^ {29}\) and K. Hofmann. It carried the title "On the deposition of acetylene at the keto group in the C17 position in the case of trans-androsterone and \(\Delta^1\)-trans-dehydroandrosterone"\(^ {30}\) and was submitted to

---

\(^{21}\) DRP 681 869, p. 2
\(^{22}\) DRP 681 869, p. 3. In Kathols patent the example of the synthesis carries the number 8.
\(^{23}\) DRP 681 869, p. 2

---

**Development of Ethinylestradiol and Ethinyltestosterone**

**24** Looked through were: Die Naturwissenschaften, Berichte der Deutschen chemischen Gesellschaft and Helvetica Chimica Acta (in each case the volumes for 1934 to 1937). In addition Decennial Index to chemical Abstracts, Vols. 21–30 (1927–1936) published by the American chemical Society, Easton (Pa). In the large handbook by Bomskov (1939) it is not possible to find reference to the ethinylation of estrone (Bomskov C. Methodik der Hormonforschung, Bd. II. Thieme- Verlag, Leipzig 1939).

**25** US Patent 2 265 976

---

**26** Of the two publications cited below, by the Swiss and Berlin scientists, the exact date of appearance could be determined only for the paper that appeared in Naturwissenschaften: it was the 15\(^{th}\) October, 1937. The investigation published in Helvetica Chimica Acta, however, should likewise have appeared in October, probably in the first half. See in regard to this Inhoffen and Köster 1939, p. 595. It can be said with certainty, that the paper by the Swiss was received at Helvetica Chimica Acta on 3\(^{rd}\) September, 1937.

**27** Leopold Ruzicka (1887–1976) was from 1926 to 1929 Professor of Organic Chemistry in Utrecht and from 1929 to 1930 Professor of Organic Chemistry in Basel (CIBA). It carried the title "On the deposition of acetylene at the keto group in the C17 position in the case of trans-androsterone and \(\Delta^1\)-trans-dehydroandrosterone." It was submitted to the claims of Inhoffen and Hohlweg in the last of his eight examples. Also, the

---

**28** US Patent 2 265 976, p. 1

---

**29** Leopold Ruzicka (1887–1976) was from 1926 to 1929 Professor of Organic Chemistry in Utrecht in the Netherlands. Then he went in the same capacity to the Federal Technical University in Zürich (ETH). Of his researches, the most outstanding were the investigations of the sex hormones. In 1939 Ruzicka and Butenandt shared the Nobel prize for chemistry. Ruzicka worked closely with the Gesellschaft für Chemische Industrie in Basel (CIBA) [Tausk M. Organon. The story of the Nobel prize for chemistry. Ruzicka worked closely with the Gesellschaft für Chemische Industrie in Basel (CIBA) [Tausk M. Organon. The story of an unusual pharmaceutical enterprise. Published by Akzo Pharma bv, Oss, The Netherlands, 1984; 91]. See in addition his autobiography [Ruzicka L. In the Borderland between Bio-organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ann Rev Biochem 1973; 42: 1–200].

---

ammonia. Ruzicka and Hofmann obtained by this means a yield of around 80%. They report nothing about the biological activity of their new compounds.

The results of this work are obviously part of a patent that was applied for by the „Gesellschaft für Chemische Industrie“ in Basel (CIBA) on 25th May, 1938. In it are taken into consideration several priorities from applications in Switzerland that go back to 26th June, 1937. The patent specification is entitled “Process for the preparation of acetylene derivatives of the cyclopentanopolyp-hydrophenanthrene series”. In its contents it goes considerably beyond what is contained in the publication by Ruzicka and Hofmann. In the introduction, earlier processes for the ethinylation of steroids are discussed.

With reference to this it is stated that the yield had been only very small because a large part of the material resinated. References are not made to the extremely high yielding method described in the patent by Kathol as well as that by Inhoffen and Hohlweg.

No Statements about the Biological Activity
It is noteworthy that in the Swiss scientists’ patent the preparation of ethinyl-estradiol from estrone is described in the examples of the use of the process Ruzicka and Hofmann obtained ethinyl-estradiol with a yield of about 80%. In the patent, as in the cited publication in Helvetica Chimica Acta, there is however no reference to the biological properties of the substance.

At almost the same time as the work of the Swiss chemists there appeared in Die Naturwissenschaften a publication from the main laboratory of Schering in Berlin. It also reports on ethinylations under the heading “A transition from the androstane series to the pregnane series”33. The authors were Kathol, Logemann and Serini.

The Berlin chemists emphasized the special physiological importance of the compounds in the pregnane series: Butenandt and his colleagues had shown that progesterone belongs to this series, while Reichstein with his group had proved the close relationship of the supra-renal gland cortex hormone corticosterone to progesterone. The easy accessibility of the dehydroepiandrosterone had then initiated the search for transitions from the androstane to the pregnane series.

Kathol, Logemann and Serini also reported that the attachment of the two carbon atoms to C17 took place very smoothly with the aid of acetylene and its derivatives. The triply unsaturated pregnane compounds obtained are “naturally particularly suitable for further conversions: hydrogen, oxygen, hydroxyl groups and the like may be

32 DRP 702 063. An inventor is not named in the patent specification. There is much to suggest that Ruzicka and Hofmann were at least considerably involved in the patent specification. A corresponding US patent (no. 2 272 131) that was applied for in July, 1938 and which referred to an application in Switzerland in July, 1937, carried the name of Ruzicka.

33 References to sources are absent.


35 Willy Bernhard Logemann (b. 1909) passed his “Abitur” in the “Humanistisches Gymnasium” in Oldenburg in 1928. Then he studied chemistry in Marburg. After the examination in 1934, he worked as “Liebig assistant” in the Chemical Institute of the University and received his doctorate in 1935 with a paper on “Investigations of the autoxidation of mercaptans. At the same time, a contribution to the chemical nature of papain” [Logemann W. Untersuchungen über die Autoxydation von Mercaptanen. Zugleich ein Beitrag zur chemischen Natur des Papains. Phil Diss Univ Marburg 1935]. Logemann, who at Schering worked successfully on steroids for many years, was the actual discoverer of the so-called “Serini reaction”, that played a part in the chemistry of the adrenal cortex steroids [Fieser LF, Fieser M. Steroids. Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York, Chapman and Hall, London, 1959, p. 628]. It was made possible the synthesis of desoxycorticosterone, that in 1939 was put on the market by Schering for the therapy of insufficiency of the adrenal cortex [Laurent H. 50 Jahre Steroidchemie bei der Schering AG (1923–1973). Manuskript, datiert vom 24.5.1973 (beim Verfasser)].

36 Tadeus Reichstein (1897–1996) in 1929 became a docent and later Professor of Organic chemistry in the Federal Technische Hochschule in Zürich (ETH). From 1931 he worked as a personal assistant of Ruzicka, in 1938 he went to Basel. In 1932 Reichstein discovered the synthesis of Vitamin C and in 1936 the adrenal cortex hormone, corticosterone. In 1950 he received, together with the American biochemist Kendall and the rheumatologist Hench, the Nobel prize for medicine. See in addition: [Tausk M. Organon. The story of an unusual pharmaceutical enterprise. Published by Akzo Pharma bv, Oss, The Netherlands, 1984; pp. 6768].
added up to partial or complete saturation\textsuperscript{37}.

Examples of ethinylation also given are the synthesis of $\Delta^5$-17-ethyl androsten-3,17-diol from dehydroepiandrosterone and the preparation of 17-ethylpregnarstan-3, 17-diol from epiandrosterone. Report of further conversions is promised at a later date by the authors.

In the paper the biological properties of the substances prepared are reported. The authors write, and this may be of particular physiological interest, “that the ethinyl compounds have the character of a female rather than a male hormone”. For example, the unit of the monoacetate of $\Delta^5$-17-ethyl androsten-3,17-diol in the Allen-Doisy test\textsuperscript{38} on the female rat was 0.2mg, whereas 1mg on the cockscomb was still ineffective\textsuperscript{39}.

In contrast to Ruzicka and Hofmann, Kathol and his colleagues give no more extensive detail about the synthesis of the substances. They simply say that the attachment of the two carbon atoms takes place “by the use of the Nef reaction”\textsuperscript{40}, whereupon they refer to a large survey, but give no indication of the relevant pages. Data about the yields that were achieved in the ethinylations carried out are likewise absent. No reference is to be found in the paper to the patents by Schering described in some detail above.

The absence of a full experimental section in the publication by Kathol and his colleagues gives rise to the question as to which process was used by them. Hints are given in the footnote commented upon above, which relates to a paper by Inhoffen and Köster, in which was described, in 1939, a new preparative process for ethinyltestosterone\textsuperscript{41}. This says, in connection with the publications of the Swiss and Berlin groups: “The authors of our working group are named in the first place, because their publication of a patent application, Dtsch. Reichs-Pat. Anmeld. Sch. 111 452 IV c/120 of 21.11.1936 is the basis, whereas Ruzicka and colleagues published their first findings on the same subject in October, 1937”. The cited application referred to the above patent presented by Kathol.

All Started with the Schering Patent

To sum up, it can be established that work had already been done in 1935 on the ethinylation of steroids. The early investigations only found expression in patent specifications. They referred to the preparation of tertiary alcohols from compounds containing keto groups of the cyclopentanoperhydrophenanthrene series. It was hoped, by these alterations of steroids, to arrive at easily accessible substances that act as hormones.

Reports on ethinyl steroid compounds appeared in the scientific literature for the first time in October, 1937. Corresponding publications, by the Swiss chemists Ruzicka and Hofmann and by the Schering chemists Kathol, Logemann and Serini, appeared almost simultaneously. In these the centre of interest was the side chain added with the aide of acetylene on C17; the endeavour was to achieve a partial synthesis of progesterone and the hormones of the supra-renal cortex.

Various processes were used for the ethinylation. Initially, the yield was meagre. Very good results were first achieved when the condensation was completed in liquid ammonia by using the potassium salt of acetylene. The description of this method was first given in a Schering patent, applied for on 22\textsuperscript{nd} November, 1936 and published on 3\textsuperscript{rd} October, 1939.

In the early Schering patents (1935 and 1936) on acetylene addition on steroids, the preparation of ethinylestradiol had been described. In them were also given details of the physiological effect of this substance. From the present point of view this appears astonishing, because in the literature the discovery of the substance and its hormonal activity is always attributed to a paper by Inhoffen and Hohlweg in 1938. The possible causes for this contradiction (Fig. 4), briefly referred to in the introduction, will be discussed more fully later\textsuperscript{42}.

How did the Synthesis of Ethinylestradiol and Ethinyltestosterone Come About?

The investigations, discussed above, into the ethinylation of steroids by the groups of workers in Switzerland and in Berlin ended only a few weeks after their publication in the preparation of ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone. Both syntheses and the discovery of the biological activity of the substances are now – as mentioned – linked with the names of the chemists Walter Hohlweg and Hans Herlof Inhoffen. We shall now describe the circumstances that led to the work of Hohlweg and Inhoffen, the results of which were first reported in the scientific literature in 1938.

In 1937, both Hohlweg and Inhoffen were working in the Berlin main laboratory of Schering AG. One of the tasks of the then 35-year old Austrian, Hohlweg, was the biological testing of all the hormone derivatives developed by the company. In the course of his almost 10 years of work for Schering, Hohlweg’s main interest had shifted from pure chemistry to the physiology of the endocrine system. Inhoffen was 31 years old and had worked in the main laboratory for just 1 year; in contrast, he worked exclusively in his original field.

There exist various descriptions by both scientists of the particular circumstances that at the end of 1937 first led to the preparation of ethinylestradiol. Essen-


\textsuperscript{38} The “Allen-Doisy-Test” determines the number of weight units of an estrogenic substance that is necessary to produce “estrus” in castrated rats. The “estrus” is manifested by the appearance of special cornified epithelial cell forms in the vagina. In contrast to other bioassays, such as the “Corner-Allen test”, the “Allen-Doisy-test” retains its character of a female rather than a male hormone.

\textsuperscript{39} The “Allen-Doisy-Test” determines the number of weight units of an estrogenic substance that is necessary to produce “estrus” in castrated rats. The “estrus” is manifested by the appearance of special cornified epithelial cell forms in the vagina. In contrast to other bioassays, such as the “Corner-Allen test”, the “Allen-Doisy-test” retains its character of a female rather than a male hormone.

\textsuperscript{40} John Ulric Nef (1862–1915) [Nef JU. Ueber das Sexualhormonreihe, IV . Mitteil.: Ein neues Darstellungsmittel für Pregneninolon. Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft 1939; 72: 595–6 (footnote 3)]

\textsuperscript{41} John Ulric Nef (1862–1915) [Nef JU. Ueber das Sexualhormonreihe, IV . Mitteil.: Ein neues Darstellungsmittel für Pregneninolon. Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft 1939; 72: 595–6 (footnote 3)]
In the synthesis of equilenin acid, Hohlweg had previously collaborated successfully with a steroid chemist. He immediately embraced the idea of making the diol be manufactured. Schoeller was immediately enthusiastic about this idea. He complied with Hohlweg’s request that a steroid chemist be made available to him for this work: Hohlweg’s immediate choice was Inhoffen. The two men had previously collaborated successfully in the synthesis of equilenin acid.

“You Can Have the Acid”

Inhoffen commented in a lecture45 on how Hohlweg approached him in this case: “One morning my colleague Walter Hohlweg […] came to me and said: ‘Make a derivative of the follicular hormone for me, the 17-carboxylic acid; I believe it would be effective, administered orally.’ I gazed into the air for a few seconds and then said: ‘You can have the acid in 2 weeks. I add acetylene to the estrone and then ozonise.’”

Inhoffen thus wanted to use the addition of acetylene to the 17-keto group in order, in 2 steps, to get the estrogenic acid desired by Hohlweg. He further said that the planned ethinylation of estrone had been carried out in 2 days. Of the ethinylestradiol thus prepared, he had handed it to Hohlweg 50 mg for biological testing. The intended ozonising of the substance had, according to Inhoffen, to be put off because of external circumstances.

45 Hohlweg 1967 to Dr. Raspé, Schering AG. Scheringianum. Archive no. B1/266.

To the great surprise of all participants, physiological testing of the ethinyl estradiol showed the enormous estrogenic activity of this substance. Inhoffen reported that about 2 weeks after the first preparation of the estrone derivative, Hohlweg “burst in” with this news46. Special importance was attributed to the circumstance that ethinylestradiol displayed its power even when orally administered.

After this success, both scientists immediately agreed to modify the masculine gonad hormone, testosterone, in analogous fashion. They hoped in this way to obtain an orally effective androgen. The preparation of ethinylestosterone presented no difficulties. However, in animal trials, the expected effects of the preparation were largely absent. “We were […] very disappointed that this substance had scarcely any androgenic effect and thus we had developed no orally effective testosterone preparation”, wrote Hohlweg at the time47.

The Great Surprise

Further biological experiments with ethinylestosterone led, a little later, to another very surprising result, namely that the new substance displayed considerable progesterone activity. In contrast to the highly purified progesterone then used in therapy, the new substance displayed its effect not only when injected but also when administered orally. Hohlweg and Inhoffen had thus in their search for an active androgenic substance discovered the first semi-synthetic progestagen! Because of its physiological action, the substance was initially named pregneninolone.

It is not quite clear, from whom the decisive impulse came to test the ethinyltestosterone for progestagenic action. Schoeller wrote in a letter in 1955 that it had been him, “[…] that gave Hohlweg and Inhoffen the good advice, to test their ethinylestosterone for corpus luteum activity, after they had told me, with great sadness, that the hoped-for masculine effect had failed to appear”48. In a lecture given by the manager of the main laboratory at the beginning of the 1940s titled “Progress in the chemistry of the cyclopentanophenanthrene derivatives”, no particular credit was given. The comment merely was that “[…] it [the ethinylestosterone] did not show as one could have expected the action of the masculine hormone, but instead, as Hohlweg and Inhoffen found, surprisingly gave that of the corpus luteum hormone […]”49.

Inhoffen remembered, however, that Schoeller had recognized “the character of the molecule as a pregnant derivative” and had recommended the test for progestagenic activity. The request of the patentees that he also sign the corresponding patent, “he modestly declined”. This was characteristic of Schoeller’s “generosity in giving suggestions”, that he, Inhoffen, had witnessed and had himself experienced50. Schoeller himself has referred to his participation in the discovery only as an example of “what a laboratory manager can achieve by a well-timed suggestion”51.

“The Rabbits are Prepared”

Hohlweg confirmed that Schoeller had spoken with him about the possible progestagenic activity of the ethinylestosterone and had suggested appropriate tests. The suggestion arose when the close chemical relationship of the substance to progesterone was in mind. At that time Hohlweg had himself started the appropriate trials: “I [Hohlweg] could tell him [Schoeller] that the rabbits were already being prepared”52.

The preparation and biological testing of the two ethinyl compounds were reported in several publications in 1938 and 1939. The details will be discussed later. The precise moment at which Hohlweg and Inhoffen for the first time held ethinylestradiol in their hands, can be established: it was 6th September, 1937.


47 Hohlweg 1967 (letter).

48 From the manuscript neither the occasion nor the exact date of the lecture is apparent. The content however shows that it was given at the end of the 1930s or the beginning of the 40s. Scheringianum, Archive no. B1/285.


50 Schoeller 1055 (letter).

51 Schoeller 1055 (letter).

52 Hohlweg 1967 (letter).
Starting point for the discovery of the two orally effective ethinyl steroids was thus the proposal by Hohlweg that 17-carboxylc estradiol should be made. For the historian this gives rise to the question, why the Austrian scientist hoped to get from this substance, what he, together with Inhoffen, discovered in ethinylestradiol.

The answer to this is to be found in a publication by Schering scientists (one of whom was Hohlweg) that appeared in 197153.

In this it states that the preparation of the 17-carboxylic estradiol was undertaken at that time because of the already known, good estrogenic activities of the estrogen acids. As examples, the authors cite doisynol acid and equilin acid54. Estrogen acids. As examples, the authors known, good estrogenic activities of the at that time because of the already

In this it states that the preparation of the 17-carboxylic estradiol was undertaken at that time because of the already known, good estrogenic activities of the estrogen acids. As examples, the authors cite doisynol acid and equilin acid54. Ethinylestradiol, however, pharmacologically surpassed all the synthetic estrogens known at the time, “[…] so that the estrogen acids and attempts to synthesize similar compounds were uninteresting”55.

Horrible Efforts
In the cited work, it was a matter of an investigation in which the project that Hohlweg and Inhoffen had tackled in 1937, namely the preparation of the 17-carboxylic estradiol in a slightly modified form, had been brought to a successful completion. Decisive for this may well have been a suggestion by Hohlweg56. The 17α- and the 17β-estradiol acid were each made as its 3-methylether. In the process it appeared that, contrary to expectation, this synthesis was extremely difficult. Inhoffen, to whom the work was dedicated for his 60th birthday, spoke in this connection of “horrible efforts”57. Both substances proved also to be “nearly inactive” as estrogens58.

In closing, it remains to be said that preparation of ethinylestradiol, shortly after Hohlweg and Inhoffen had achieved it, was also reported by Ruzicka’s group59. The Swiss scientists had, however, to recognise the priority of the Schering researchers. In contrast to the first publication by Hohlweg and Inhoffen, the paper by Ruzicka and his colleagues contains a detailed account of the method of preparation.

The Papers by Hohlweg and Inhoffen
Die Naturwissenschaften, 1938
The first publication on the synthesis of ethinylestradiol was in the journal Die Naturwissenschaften60. This was a brief initial communication, one page in length, under the heading “New orally effective female sex hormone derivatives: 17-ethinylestradiol and pregnen-in-on-3-ol-17”. The authors were Hans Herloff Inhoffen and Walter Hohlweg and the manuscript was dated 31st January, 1938.

Without going into details of the synthesis, the two Berlin scientists reported briefly on the preparation of ethinyl estradiol from estrone. The synthesis of the substance was the result of efforts to make, from estrone, follicular hormone derivatives with good activity when administered orally. The observation had certainly been made in the past that, “there are connections between the chemical constitution and the strength of the activity when orally administered”61.

Inhoffen and Hohlweg remark at this point, in a single sentence, that the new compound has shown no activity of the sort associated with a male sex hormone.

In closing, the publication says that if the new progestin proves successful in clinical trials, it will be of great therapeutic importance, because a hormone hitherto only effective when injected could, in the future, be administered orally. The authors announce that more comprehen-

54 In see addition also [Hohlweg W, Inhoffen HH. Equilensäure, ein oral hochwirksames Östrogen. Dtsch med Wchschr 1947; 72: 86–7].
56 Hohlweg 1967 (letter). He wrote: “Was an attempt ever made to make the estradiol acid that I wanted? As my new laboratories in Graz will soon be available and I have a capable chemist I would attempt the synthesis, if it has not already been done elsewhere”. Scheringianum. Archive no. B 1/266.
57 Inhoffen 1983 (lecture).
60 [Prezewowsky K, Wiechert R, Hohlweg W. Synthese von racem-3-Methoxy-17β-hydroxy-1.3.5 (10)-östratrien-17α-carbonsäure und 3-Methoxy-17α-hydroxy-1.3.5 (10)-östratrien-17β-carbonsäure. Liebig Ann Chem 1971; 752: 68–77].
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Figure 1. Hans Herloff Inhoffen. Source: Schering Archiv, Bayer AG.

Figure 2. Walter Hohlweg. Source: Schering Archiv, Bayer AG.

Figure 3. Walter Hohlweg (right side with chalk) in front of the formula for ethinyltestosterone (ethisterone). Source: Schering Archiv, Bayer AG.

Figure 4. Inhoffen and Hohlweg’s classic publication in “Naturwissenschaften” 1938. The ethisterone was then still called pregnen-in-on-3-ol-17.

Figure 5. Advertisement for oral ethinyltestosterone from just after World War II. Source: Schering Archiv, Bayer AG.

Figure 6. Commercial packaging of ethinylestradiol (Progynon C). It arrived first on the German market in 1949. Source: Schering Archiv, Bayer AG.
sive publications about the compounds will appear shortly.

Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft, 1938

The first of these more detailed publications is found in the *Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft*. The manuscript was received by the editorial staff of the journal on 14th April, 1938. The publication was based on a lecture that had been given by Inhoffen on 26th February, 1938 at the convention of the North-west German Chemistry Docents in Göttingen.64

The title of the paper was very general: “Investigations of the sex hormone series”. Besides Inhoffen, the co-authors named were, in order, Willy Logemann, Walter Hohlweg and Arthur Serini. Initially, reference was made to the two previously published papers on steroid ethinylation. The first of these, which had originated at Schering, had a list of authors beginning with the steroid chemist Josef Kathol, followed by Logemann and Serini. The other paper was by the Swiss researchers, Ruzicka and Hofmann.

The technique of adding acetylene on the 17th carbon atom of steroids from the androstone series, as discussed in the two cited papers, is pointed out as having been applied to estrone by Inhoffen and Hohlweg. By this means, the expected ethinylestradiol had been obtained. The melting point of the compound was 145–146°C.

The authors point out that the addition of acetylene can lead to two isomers, the cis- and the trans-forms. However, the formation of only one of these isomers is very obviously strongly favoured, “since the product obtained at a 90% yield is undoubtedly uniform”65. To characterise the substance the monobenzoate, which crystallizes well, had been prepared, but after saponification with alkali it yielded the starting material with unaltered properties. This finding underlined the uniformity of the ethinyl compound.

Inhoffen and his co-authors then once again discuss the physiological activity of ethinylestradiol, that had previously been shown in the first publication by means of experiments with rats. Whereas then only comparisons of the subcutaneous and oral effectiveness of ethinyl estradiol with those of the physiological gonadal hormones had been used, now additional substances are employed: it is a matter of the likewise ethinylated derivatives of equinil and equeienil67.

Supporting the fact of high oral effectiveness of ethinylestradiol (emphasized in the first paper), the authors go on to question the basis for this effect.

On this they say one has to assume, “[…] that the marked gastric and intestinal resorption that is apparent in the case of ethinyl compounds must be attributable to the presence of the ethinyl group”. To examine this assumption, the triple bond has been changed, by hydrogenation, to a double bond. Biological tests on rats by oral administration have then shown a decrease in activity of the substance (17-ethinylestradiol) to values that again correspond to those of estradiol. “The marked oral effectiveness of ethinyl estradiol is thus in causal connection with the ethinyl group”68. Administered subcutaneously, ethinylestradiol has proved somewhat more active than even the ethylated compound.

Inhoffen and colleagues then deal with ethinyltestosterone, made in analogous fashion to the estrone derivative, that in the paper in *Die Naturwissenschaften* they had called pregnen-in-on-3-ol-17. They now call this compound pregneni-on-ol-on. This name is justified in a footnote by the statement that it is a matter of a derivative of pregnane, that in addition has the action of progesterone, and not that of testosterone69.

On the preparation of pregneninolone, it is stated one can start from ethinyl-androstendiol, which is obtained by addition of acetylene on dehydroandrosterone. The oxidation of the secondary alcohol group on C3 to a keto group finally leads to pregneninolone. The melting point of the compound is given as 264–266°C.

With regard to the progestin action of pregneninolone, the authors speak as in their first publication of the “very surprising results” of the biological test. Why the testosterone derivative for corpus luteum action had been investigated at all is not stated. On the topic of activity, Inhoffen and his coauthors again cite the published results of trials on baby rabbits pretreated with follicular hormone. In addition they say, “the ethinyl group in this compound, with a constitution very close to that of progesterone, thus leaves the subcutaneous activity essentially unchanged and, what is more, causes the appearance of an oral activity that the natural hormone lacks”70.

Remarkably Effective

In the case of pregneninolone also, the special relation between the ethinyl group and the physiological effect has been demonstrated. As in the case of ethinylestradiol, the appropriate ethinyl group had been obtained by partial hydrogenation of ethinyl androstendiol and subsequent oxidation of the oxy group on C3. The effective dose of pregnaenol-ol-ons is 7.5 mg if administered subcutaneously, and 15mg if orally. The activity has thus decreased by about 1/4 when applied in both ways. The effectivity of the ethinyl derivative when administered orally, compares with progesterone strikingly; it is notably greater.

In the paper it is additionally pointed out that the progestin effect of pregneninolone disappears completely if one re-

---


65 [Inhoffen HH, Hohlweg W. Neue per os wirksame weibliche Keimdrüsenhormon-Derivate: 17-Aethinylestradiol und Pregnen-in-on-3-ol-17. Die Naturwissenschaften 1938; 26: 96].


67 These substances, whose preparation is comprehensively described in the cited paper, will not be discussed in detail here. They remain unimportant.

68 [Inhoffen HH, Logemann W, Hohlweg W, Serini A. Untersuchungen in der Sexualhormon-Reihe. Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft 1938; 71: 1025]. The assumption that the ethinyl group improves the resorption of the hormone in the gastric and intestinal tract, later proved to be false. The high oral activity is much more attributable to a delay of metabolism in the liver.

69 It was – however – as became clear later – not a compound of the pregnane series, because the two carbon atoms of the ethinyl group at C 17 are in the α-position.

Inhoffen and his colleagues then describe in detail the experiments that led to the synthesis of all the substances mentioned in the previous publication is no longer mentioned. Whether or not something of the same kind is intended something of the same kind is intended.
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Hohlweg and Inhoffen then report anew how it came about that pregneninolone was prepared, that according to its formula could also be described as ethinyltestosterone. They then point out again that corpus luteum hormone preparations hitherto could be administered only by injection, because when administered orally they are inactive. Pregneninolone was thus the first orally effective corpus luteum hormone preparation.

Comparison with Progesterone

The paper goes on to give further details of the way in which the pregneninolone was tested for its progestin action. In order to have a direct and reliable comparison with physiological progesterone, the same process was employed, which tested crystallized progesterone dissolved in alcohol orally administered to baby rabbits pretreated with estrogens.

The test was carried out for 5 days. This entailed the preparation of two groups of three rabbits for each preparation, and two different doses of progesterone or pregneninolone were administered with a pharyngeal probe.

On the 6th day, histological examinations were carried out of the endometrium of the animals, to assess progestin activity. The results showed that progesterone administered orally in total doses of 30 and 60 mg remained completely inactive, whereas in the uteri of the animals treated with 5 and 10 mg of pregneninolone, a progestin influence could be detected. Further: “The precise testing of pregneninolone by oral administration showed that 4mg represents the effective limiting dose […]”76.

Finally, the authors report on trials of progesterone and pregneninolone administered to rabbits by injection. Here, with either substance, a 10 mg dose was effective.

It is noticeable in the presented paper that ethinylestradiol is practically no longer mentioned, although in the meantime a paper by Clauberg has appeared, in which clinical experiences with both ethinyl compounds are reported.77 The Berlin scientists mention the publication by Clauberg in only one sentence, saying that he had “…been able to show the corpus luteum hormone action of progesterone in humans when orally administered […]”78. Clauberg’s investigations will be reported comprehensively in connection with the history of the reception of ethinylestradiol.

Ethinylestradiol had Hardly a Role

Ethinylestradiol has scarcely played any part in later publications by

78 [Hohlweg W, Inhoffen HH. Pregneninol, ein neues per os wirksames Corpus luteum-Hormonpräparat. Klin Wochschr 1939; 18: 78.].
Hohlweg and Inhoffen. In a survey by Hohlweg of the oral activity of natural and synthetic estrogens, which appeared in 1950 in the *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, the substance is mentioned only in passing. Of the synthetic estrogens, the author favours the use of dienestrol in the form of the diacetate, which is a stillbeine derivative. Hohlweg referred to the results of clinical tests in the *Universitäts-Frauenklinik der Charité, Berlin*, where he was at the time head of the laboratory.

In detail the 1950 survey about ethinylestradiol says: “Unfortunately, in the course of comprehensive clinical tests, this substance was not well tolerated. In the case of 20–30% of the women treated, nausea attacks occurred, similar to those which occur after treatment with stilbestrol. The preparation was therefore not initially introduced into therapy. During and after the war, however, it has been clinically tested abroad and because of its high activity it is used therapeutically, although its therapeutic range is relatively small”.

Only in his large contribution on “The hormones of the gonads” for the second edition of the handbook by Seitz-Amreich titled Biology and Pathology of the Woman that appeared in 1953, does Hohlweg state that ethinylestradiol had acquired “great therapeutic importance”.

Hohlweg did not refer here to the previously mentioned secondary effects, but emphasizes only that when administered orally to humans, the substance is 10 times as active as intramuscularly injected estradiol benzoate.

### On the Reception of the Ethinylestrogens

#### First Clinical Tests in Germany

As already mentioned, Inhoffen and Hohlweg reported in 1938 in their first publication on the preparation of the ethinyl compounds, that the clinical tests of the new substances had already begun. In the article published in *Die Naturwissenschaften* it is true that further details about the place and scope of the investigations are absent; in the later papers there are no references at all to clinical trials, either in progress or completed.

On looking through the literature, only one comprehensive publication (in 1938) is available on the action on the sex organs of women of orally used ethinylestradiol and pregnenolone. This paper deals with investigations by Clauberg, who at the time was senior consultant at the *Universitäts-Frauenklinik* in Königsberg in Prussia. Together with Ziya Üstün, a Turkish doctor at the hospital, Clauberg published the results relating to eight patients who had been treated with the new substance for secondary amenorrhoea, uterus hypoplasia and glandular-cystic hyperplasia of the endometrium. Clauberg’s paper will be comprehensively presented below.

### Buschbeck’s Investigations

In addition, Herbert Buschbeck, docent at the Universitäts-Frauenklinik Würzburg, reported on the first clinical experiences with ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone. He did so during a lecture given to the Medical-Medical Association in Würzburg on 24th November, 1938. This lecture was reported in the *Klinische Wochenschrift* in 1939. Buschbeck’s own paper on this has not been found.

In the report, which is principally concerned with the orally effective estrogen stilbestrol, it is noted that 90 mg of ethinylestradiol, administered orally, “is sufficient to build up completely the mucous membrane”. Prevention of lactation was achieved with 24 mg, distributed over 4 days. When used for the treatment of dysmenorrhoea, amenorrhoea was observed for 2–3 months. In summing up it was stated: “The great activity when orally administered is very gratifying. The risks if inexpertly used are great. Schering AG are therefore for the present not putting the preparation on the market”. What these risks are is not stated, although in connection with stilbestrol, the poor tolerance of it is expressly referred to.

Of pregnenolone, the reporter writes that this is the first “corpus luteum hormone” that is effective when orally administered. With 250–400 mg of the substance a secretory phase of the endometrium had been brought about. The preparation was harmless, and its use in cases of spastic dysmenorrhoea, emesis and hyperemesis gravidarum, as well as habitual abortions, was conceivable. Investigations of it must be continued.

### Treatment Trials at the Charité

Obviously at that time treatment trials with ethinylestradiol were also run at the *UniversitätsFrauenklinik* at the Charité in Berlin. A corresponding reference is...
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With the aid of hysterosalpingography and histology of the endometrium, Clauberg gave proof of the effectiveness of the orally administered preparations. Using X-ray presentation of the size of the uterine cavity both before and after completion of the hormone treatment, the growth of the womb could be established. On the endometrium the known histological criteria concerning the effect of the ovarian hormones were used.

As mentioned previously, the eight patients were women who came for therapy because of secondary amenorrhoea, primary sterility, or menstrual disturbances because of glandular-cystic hyperplasia of the endometrium. For the purposes of his investigation Clauberg formed three groups. In the first therapy was aimed at uterine growth, in the second at proliferation as well as transformation of a dormant endometrium and in the third group at the conversion of a hyperplastic mucous membrane into secretory transformation. With this division, three patients were found in both the first and the second group95. Thus in the framework of the same investigation were assessed the growth of the womb and the change of the uterine mucous membrane.

Of Special Interest: Secondary Amenorrhoea
The women were treated for very different periods (from 25 days to barely 3 months) with markedly differing doses of ethinylestradiol (EE) and pregneninolone. The considerations, by which Clauberg and Üstün allowed themselves to be guided, cannot be inferred from the paper. Also, the principle remains unmentioned according to which the histological examination of the endometrium in the various phases of the treatment was carried out. The authors merely briefly state that “the proof (of the effectiveness of both preparations) after oral administration was therefore here […] carried out in the same way as it had earlier been carried out by Clauberg for the injected preparations […]”96.

The results of the investigations were published in August, 1938 in the Zentralblatt für Gynäkologie. The case history discloses that the treatments took place between February and May, 1938. The ethinylestradiol and pregneninolone were made available by Schering AG, and the substances carried the designations „Progynon C“ and „Proluton C“.

Of particular interest for the purposes of the present paper are the data relating to three women who suffered from secondary amenorrhoea. The first patient received up to 4 mg Progynon C daily for 19 days, then for 5 days she was given a combination of the estrogen with pregneninolone (daily 2 mg Progynon C and 20 mg Proluton C92). The total dose of ethinylestradiol was 65 mg. An endometrium biopsy after 19 days showed proliferated mucous membrane, the hysterosalpingography uterine growth. It was 5 days after completion of the treatment that bleeding started. Biopsy in the luteal phase was omitted.

The second patient was treated with 3 mg EE per day for 10 days, then again for 10 days with 5 mg EE per day and thereafter for 11 days with, in all, a further 30 mg EE and 300 mg Proluton C90. The total amount of estrogen given for the 31 days of the treatment is noted in the paper as 110 mg. An endometrium biopsy at the conclusion of therapy showed secretory conversion of the mucous membrane, and the hysterosalpingography showed considerable growth of the uterus. Once more, bleeding started 5 days after medication ceased.

The third patient initially received 1mg Progynon C per day over 10 days, and then 2 mg of estrogen daily for the next 10 days. The investigators then gave her 2 mg EE daily for a further 12 days, combined with, in all, 100 mg Proluton C (daily dose not stated). After 20 days of such therapy with estrogens, growth of the uterus was again ascertained, and after the 12th day of the combined treatment menstruation occurred, after a delay of 5 days. In this case a histological investigation of the endometrium was omitted.

92 Clauberg deviates here and elsewhere with the combined dose of estrogen/progestin in the second half of the cycle from the then widely used “Kaufmann scheme”.
91 [Clauberg C, Üstün Z. Menstruation – per os erzeugt. Beweise der Wirksamkeit von Progynon C, einem neuen Pollikelhormonderivat, und Proluton C, einem neuen Luteohormonpräparat, bei peroraler Verabreichung. Zbl Gynäkol 1938; 62: 1749.] At this place in the paper there is obviously an error in the dosage data. It says here, “[…] then from the 27th April to the 7th May, 1938 in all 30 mg Progynon C orally (twice daily, each of 5 mg) […]”. If one starts from the 10 mg per day, then the improbable total dose of 110 mg would be given for the last days of the therapy. Therefore 30 mg is assumed as a more probable value.
95 This circumstance repeatedly led later investigators astray in regard to the total number of patients: they then spoke of 11 instead of 8 treated women.
96 Hahn H. Testierung, Wirkung und Bewertung des Ethinylöstradiols (Progynon C). Zbl Gynäkol 1951; 73: 783.}
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Optimal Dosage was of Little Interest

Looked at as a whole, Clauberg and his co-author appear to have regarded that proof of the oral effectiveness of the ethinyl compounds had been provided, in that the substances succeeded in producing growth of the uterus, menstruation and secretory transformation of a hyperproliferated mucous membrane. The question of the optimal dosage was obviously of less interest. There were no indications as to why women with the same illness were given such different doses. The authors do not clearly explain the criteria according to which they determined the dosages.

As to the important question of the particular illnesses for which the ethinylestradiol should be given, the paper only says that one must in the case of oral administration reckon to use about 2–3 times the amount that is necessary in the case of intramuscular injection of follicular hormone. In reckoning the basis of the dose, Clauberg was bearing in mind the amount of generally used estradiol benzoate94, that would achieve a good proliferation of the mucosa uteri. Furthermore, it was explained that there was no wish to establish a general standard for the orally administered dose95.

Side-Effects not Mentioned

In the case of Proluton C the approach was somewhat different. Here the authors obviously started from the findings of Hohlweg and Inhoffen, which indicated that pregneninolone administered subcutaneously to a rabbit had about a third of the effectiveness of progesterone; if administered orally twice the dose was necessary. So Clauberg and Üstün reckoned with a factor of at least five to six when determining the transformation dose of pregneninolone for a woman. In the event at least 300 mg were finally given96.

The omission of endometrium biopsies after combined estrogen/progestin treatment was limited to the cases in which considerably less than the mentioned 300 mg of Proluton C had been administered. In these cases the investigators expected no transformation. This conclusion is supported by the statement of Clauberg, namely that the bleeding occurring in these cases is a consequence of the breakdown of mucous membrane in the proliferation because of a shortage of hormone.

Despite the enormously high doses (by today’s standards) that Clauberg and Üstün used in their estrogen treatment, no word is said of sideeffects. Furthermore, nothing is said of patients who had to be eliminated from the study at some stage because of intolerance of the medication. Finally, there is no evaluation with regard to the rank that the new substances could severally occupy in therapy.

The Introduction of Pregneninolone into Therapy

In contrast to ethinylestradiol, pregneninolone97 was introduced into therapy by Schering AG a very short time after its preparation. According to company documents, this first orally effective progesterin was put on the market in 193998. Primarily on account of wartime damage, archives are not now available which might indicate what preparations were involved and what the symptoms were for which the preparation was recommended99.

In the “Rote Liste”, which provided information about medicaments that were indexed in the “Rote Liste”, Supplement 1940, p. 101. The next issue appeared in 1949. Here Proluton C is characterized as Pregneninolone: Rote Liste, 1949, p. 513. In reckoning the basis of the preparation, so long ago, that hitherto corpus luteum hormone could be administered only by injection, because enteral administration led to inactivation. By experiments which attempted to increase the oral effectivity of gonadal hormones by introducing specific substituents, Inhoffen and Hohlweg had found in pregneninolone an “active substance very close to progesterone”, that even when administered orally was hardly less effective (Fig. 5; p. 40).

Secretory Transformation by Proluton C

The company then referred briefly to the results of experimental tests of the new substance. In the case of baby rabbits pretreated with follicular hormone, a secretory transformation of the mucous membrane of the uterus had been achievable with 4 mg of orally administered Proluton C. Pure progesterone had in contrast been ineffective even at a dosage of 60 mg. In the case of rabbits castrated after impregnation, Courrier and Fost had maintained the pregnancy by feeding with Proluton C100.

In connection with the clinical testing of the preparation, reference is exclusively to Clauberg. He had succeeded, in women who had acquired an artificial mucous membrane by means of estrogen, in transforming by oral administration of pregneninolone the membrane into the secretory phase. After treatment with the progestin, a genuine menstruation could be observed. Also a uterine mucous membrane, pathologically al-
The question of ascertaining the dose, the transformation of the endometrium.

The Father of Modern Progestins

Rapid progress in steroid chemistry had already begun in the 40s, which served only to reduce the importance of the first synthetic progestin to historical interest. In 1940 the American chemist Russell E. Marker discovered a method by which the vegetable compound dioxigen could be converted to progesterone (and pregneninolone). This process was easily employed and could be based on an almost inexhaustible raw material source. Such raw material was accessible, however, only to the Allies during World War II. The availability of synthetic substances via the new process quickly led to a slump in the expensively priced natural hormone.

Great Therapeutic Range

Although this Schering information sheet of 1955 for the clinical testing of ethinylestradiol referred only to Clauberg, at the time, however, the results of other investigations were also available. These investigations had been carried out in the early 1940s in Germany and abroad, and they will not be considered here because, by and large, they confirm the previously available results. Additional points were merely concerned with dosages considered necessary for the transformation of the endometrium. The question of ascertaining the dose, however, because of the great therapeutic range of pregneninolone, did not in the least play a role in the fundamental acceptance of the preparation. This compares not at all with the case of the investigations into ethinylestradiol, which will be discussed in detail later.

In 1944 the former assistant of Windaus, Maximilian Ehrenstein, succeeded at the Pennsylvania University in preparing a mixture of the isomers of 19-norprogesterone from strophanthidin. These steroids proved, in an investigation by William Allen, to be progestins at least as effective as progesterone. Finally, in 1951 the young Mexican chemist Luis Miramontes under the tutelage of Carl Djerassi prepared nor-ethisterone from 19-nortestosterone, and this new substance is about five times as effective as ethinylestradiol. Nor-ethisterone led to the many highly effective progestins now known to us, which are ethinylated testosterone derivatives and are primarily used in oral contraceptives.

Early Papers by American Authors on Ethinylestradiol

Competition from the Stilbenes

After the publication of the much cited investigations by Clauberg and Üstün, nothing was at first said about ethinyl estradiol. In the years 1939–1942—as
will be shown – few clinicians worked with the new substance, although interest in highly effective oral estrogen preparations steadily increased. This phenomenon, whose causes will be comprehensively discussed later, may be attributable to, inter alia, the discovery of diethylstilbestrol in 1938. This substance was the first and may well be the most important representative of a group of non-steroidal compounds with high estrogenic activity, an activity diminished when administration is oral. What is more, stilbestrol could be made easily and cheaply. Because of their importance for the reception of ethinylestradiol, the stilbenes will be discussed here in considerable detail.

The synthesis of diethylstilbestrol was achieved by the English biochemist Edward Charles Dodds and his colleagues after having worked on the problem for many years. It is not without interest to learn that Dodds obtained important, if not decisive, hints for his interest to learn that Dodds obtained important, if not decisive, hints for his work from Schering’s Berlin main laboratory. The new substance, because of its described properties, excited great interest amongst clinicians soon after its preparation, and it was shortly introduced into therapy. Numerous investigations of its usability became public in the 1940s via a wealth of papers in the specialist literature.

German Gynecologists were Reserved

Although intolerance phenomena similar to those experienced with overdoses of ethinylestradiol had been reported earlier, stilbestrol was widely used, above all in the USA, until the late 1960s. It was given in large doses of up to 300 mg per day for the treatment of impending abortion. In Germany the approach to the substance was considerably more reserved, primarily due to the influence of the gynaecologist Carl Kaufmann. This caution was to prove a blessing for at the beginning of the 1970s it became apparent that young girls whose mothers had been treated with stilbestrol during their pregnancies, consequently developed neoplasias of the vagina. The preparation is therefore no longer used in gynaecological therapy.

In the case of the few investigations of ethinylestradiol which at the end of the 1930s and beginning of the 1940s had been somewhat overshadowed by the stilbene derivatives, they were a matter of clinical studies by American authors. In Europe, then preoccupied by war, no one was intensively occupied with the steroids, although the paper by Clauberg had certainly created interest.

The question of the causes of this development will be considered later.

Two Argentinians Made the Start

Probably the first foreign workers to become involved were the Argentinian gynaecologists J. A. Salaber and E. B. del Castillo who tested ethinylestradiol on three patients. The results of their investigations were published in January, 1939 in the journal published in Buenos Aires La Semana Medica. The indication for the therapy was, in all three cases, secondary amenorrhoea.

The patients were treated for 24, 29, or 32 days with a total dose between 7, 4 and 15 mg of the synthetic hormone. The authors reported that uterine bleeding had been started in all three patients, and in each case it occurred 4–6 days after medication ceased. Histological examination of the endometrium before and after the therapy did not take place.

Salaber and del Castillo thus used a considerably smaller dose of ethinylestradiol than had Clauberg and Ustün. Considered as a whole, they reduced the daily dose by at least a factor of five. In their paper, in which they refer to Clauberg and Ustün as well as to Inhoffen and Hohlweg, this reduced dosage is specially emphasized. Justification for this is not given; all that is noted is that the therapeutic doses can be determined, only when a larger number of patients has been treated.

The two South Americans, in contrast to Clauberg, additionally reported on the toleration of the medication. The substance had been well tolerated. The effect was comparable with that of intra-muscularly injected estradiol monobenzoate. The authors were convinced that the use of ethinylestradiol would represent a real step forward in the field of hormone therapy for menstruation anomalies. The ethinylestradiol for their study was made available by the Schering subsidiary, Quimica Schering S.A. in Buenos Aires. It carried the designation “Neo-Estrona”.

Probably the first experiences of North American clinicians with ethinylestradiol were published by Robert Frank and his colleagues in 1940 in the journal Endocrinology. They considered the substance within the framework of a survey of newer preparations of sex hormones. The authors were members of a group of workers from the Endocrinology Clinic and the laboratories of the Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City.

The publication was concerned primarily – as one would expect at that time – with diethylstilbestrol. All that was said...
about ethinylestradiol was that clinical tests of the substance had been broken off at a very early stage, because the majority of the patients after the first dose of the medicament had shown the most severe side-effects in the form of sickness and vomiting. Information about the indications and the doses is not given. The literature cited in the paper gives no indication as to which of the then available publications about ethinylestradiol had been consulted by the New York working group.

Despite these unencouraging results in therapy, the new substance was persisted with at the Mount Sinai Hospital. In the following year another team from the hospital (Udall J. Salmon and colleagues) published again about ethinylestradiol\textsuperscript{12}\textsuperscript{12}. On this occasion the result of a clinical trial on 22 patients was described, all the patients having suffered from the menopause syndrome. Not a word was said about the treatments broken off because of the side-effects during the previous year.

The Ideal Drug: Low Dose, Cheap, Orally Applicable

The authors concentrated on explanation in detail of the importance of an orally effective estrogen for the therapy of climacteric complaints in particular. The treatment of the menopause syndrome requires a hormone substitute over a long period, it was said. The ideal preparation for the purpose must fulfil several conditions: high activity in small doses, simple administration by the patient herself, high tolerance and cheap manufacture. Salmon and his colleagues did not regard the preparations then available as capable of fulfilling these requirements in ideal fashion. Therefore ethinylestradiol was tested.

Among the 22 patients who were treated with ethinylestradiol tablets were some women castrated by surgery and others by radiation therapy. They all showed “[...] characteristic menopausal symptoms: hot flushes, nervousness, sleeplessness, gastrointestinal disturbances, vertigo, headaches and arthralgia”\textsuperscript{112}\textsuperscript{12}. The effect of the preparation was assessed by the degree to which these symptoms disappeared, but also by the estrogen effect on vaginal smears or by vaginal biopsy. Patients who cytologically or histologically showed no sign of hormone deficiency were not included in the study.

Salmon’s group of workers also used considerably smaller doses of the medicament than had Clauberg. Although the New York investigators expressly refer in their paper to the dosages used by the Königsberg doctor, it remains unexplained why they did not follow his suggestions. They also refrained from any comment on the explicitly ascertained fact that in Clauberg’s paper nothing was said about the side effects of ethinylestradiol.

Clear Estrogenic Effects

In the study by Salmon, at the start of the therapy the patients were first treated for 4–7 days with 1.8–3.15 mg of the preparation. The average daily dose was 0.45 mg. Within this period, with two exceptions, an improvement in the clinical symptoms was observable in all the women. In the vaginal mucous membrane, clear estrogenic effects were apparent. In the case of four women, the treatment had to be broken off because of sickness, vomiting and stomachaches, three further patients reacted to a dose decrease with improvement in the side-effects. After the initial phase, the treatment could be continued with daily doses between 0.15 and 0.3 mg. The authors report only one instance of uterine bleeding, which occurred 2 weeks after therapy ended, and this in the case of a patient who had received a total dose of 23.1 mg of ethinylestradiol over a period of 50 days.

In the assessment of their results the authors came to the conclusion that the tested substance orally administered in small doses shows high estrogenic activity. It therefore appeared to be extremely promising with regard to the above stated requirements for a substitution therapy in the climacterium. Before a recommendation for general use, however, the cause of the side-effects was to be elucidated in further investigations.

The study outlined above represented the prelude to numerous further investigations in the United States that finally, at the end of the 1940s, led to the introduction of ethinylestradiol into general therapy. Within the framework of this monograph it would be excessive to describe, in full detail and in chronological order, the studies that increased in number with the years. Representative of the whole collection therefore, will be exemplary investigations, which will be arranged according to the indications presented.

The Treatment of Ovarian Insufficiency

Therapy for the symptoms characteristic of the menopause, whether a consequence of natural or iatrogenic ovarian insufficiency, was clearly in the 1940s the principal occasion for further trials with ethinylestradiol. Besides women who suffered cessation phenomena due to age, patients were also treated whose ovaries, because of certain illnesses, had had to be removed surgically or whose ovaries had been made functionally inactive by irradiation.

The limitations that Salmon and his colleagues had placed in 1941 on the use of ethinylestradiol because of the observed side effects are not to be found in a paper published in 1942 by B. A. Watson\textsuperscript{123}. Although the author, a worker in the Endocrinological Department of the sanatorium in Battle Creek, Michigan, did not use doses significantly smaller than those used by Salmon’s group, the undesirable reactions that occurred did not appear serious to him. “From our experience it is possible to conclude that ethinylestradiol is an effective and safe drug..."
to use in the treatment of menopausal symptoms […],” wrote Watson124.

The investigation, published in the *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*, was based on observations of 18 patients. The women were treated for several months, and they all suffered from typical menopausal phenomena, including hot flashes. “No patients were included in this study who did not experience flashes because it was felt that the disappearance of these would more clearly indicate subjective improvement”, it is said in the paper125.

**Successful Treatment with “Minimal Doses”**

Watson said concerning the doses that after some time a very specific scheme of application had proved especially favourable, because with it side-effects had been avoidable: three times 0.15 mg per day for the first 3 days, then reduction of the daily dose to 0.15 mg twice and finally, as long-term therapy, 0.15 mg ethinylestradiol daily. The treatment extended over 2 to 13 successive months. In the process only one failure of the therapy and one “toxic reaction” (urticaria) had been observed.

Morris J. Groper and Gerson A. Biskind reported on a successful treatment of the menopause syndrome with still smaller doses of ethinylestradiol (“minimal doses”) in a paper that likewise appeared in 1942 in the *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology*.126. According to the experiences of these two authors, which were based on the treatment of 33 women, some over 2 years, the dose of the medicament could be reduced to 0.05 mg daily, without relapses of the patients.

It is apparent from the study that the treatment initially employed substantially larger doses of the semi-synthetic estrogen. “When ethinylestradiol was first employed, the majority of patients was given 0.15 mg daily for a period of 14 to 21 days, and the dosage was then decreased to 0.15 mg every other day. In a few instances 0.30 mg was the initial daily dose and this was gradually decreased after 7 to 14 days”127.

The principal concern of the investigation was the question of the effectiveness of the preparation in the control of the clinical symptoms associated with hormone deficiency. The estimation of the objectively detectable estrogen activity of the substance had been of secondary interest, wrote Groper and his co-author. In 27 out of 33 cases, first-class results in treatment had been achieved and a moderate improvement of the symptoms had occurred in two women. Only in four cases did failure of the therapy have to be admitted.

**Side-Effects Scarcely Occurred**

In the therapy described by Groper and Biskind, side-effects scarcely occurred. None of the treated women suffered from nausea or vomiting, the paper reports. Headache was observed in only three cases. Apart from one case, in which a termination of therapy was necessary, in other cases the symptom, despite continuation of the treatment, disappeared of its own accord. Consequently the comment is made: “The toxic manifestations that have been described with the nonsteroidal oral estrogens did not occur.” And in another place: “The development of an orally effective steroid estrogen […] which in our hands has shown practically no toxic symptoms, is a welcome advance”128.

The therapy with ever smaller doses of ethinylestradiol was soon taken into account by the manufacturer. In the cited paper it is pointed out that the Schering Corporation in Bloomfield (New Jersey) initially supplied the medicament in tablet form with 0.15 mg of effective material per tablet. Groper and Biskind write that the dose per tablet was later decreased to 0.05 mg.

Although the authors were primarily concerned with the clinical symptoms of the menopause, the objective signs of the estrogen effect that occurred during the treatment were recorded: in seven cases as expected – uterine bleeding occurred. After the therapy had continued for a certain period, occasional endometrium biopsies showed proliferation phases, occasionally also hyperplasia with expanded and cystic glands. According to the paper, various patients reported a white discharge, that obviously was attributable to an increase in the maturing and keratinisation of the vaginal epithelium.

Similar experiences to those of Groper and Biskind were those of S. D. Soule, who in 1943 published in the *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* the results of treatment with ethinylestradiol in cases of menopausal syndrome in 30 women. He too used a daily hormone dose of 0.05 mg. He also referred to the side-effects that Salmon and his colleagues had observed when giving larger doses of the medicament. The 0.05 mg ethinylestradiol per day that he had administered were well tolerated by 28 of the 30 patients. In one case there had been complaints about acute sickness, and in another the termination of the therapy had been necessary129.

**“Excellent” Success**

The success of the treatment in 19 cases was rated by Soule as “excellent”; nine patients had responded well. One patient showed little or no improvement, so one case of complete therapeutic failure had to be recorded. Amongst the patients were 16 women whose hormone deficiency was the consequence of an operation. The problem of uterine bleeding after prolonged treatment with ethinylestradiol is not discussed in detail. Soule merely states that after the administration of in all 0.85 mg over 17 days, on cessation of treatment bleeding had been observable. A recommendation for treatment interruptions is not given.

Since most of the patients in the study had been treated with other preparations before the ethinylestradiol therapy was started, the author had an opportunity to compare the subjective efficacies. Soule wrote in regard to this that “[…] twelve of the patients who previously had taken from 0.25 to 1.0 mg stilbestrol daily approximately were equally benefited by 0.05 mg ethinylestradiol.” He referred
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Besides to another phenomenon that repeatedly appears in the literature. “It was noted quite frequently that the patient who obtains a similar result with natural estrogens experiences a peculiar ‘lift’ or sense of well-being that is difficult to explain but which is nevertheless frequently definite”130.

The described small doses of ethinylestradiol were also successfully used, in the years after 1943, in other studies of the treatment of ovarian insufficiency. Floyd E. Harding reported in 1944 in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology that even daily doses of 0.02 mg of the substance had in certain cases produced a clear therapeutic success131.

As optimal daily doses for most patients with complaints in the natural or artificial postmenopause he indicated 0.05–0.1 mg of the estrogen derivative. He observed bleeding in women previously suffering from amenorrhea in 5 of 17 cases.

In another investigation in 1944 published by Robert A. Lyon at the Medical School of the University of California in San Francisco ethinylestradiol was used cyclically132. The author reported on about 45 patients with the climacteric syndrome who had received daily doses of 0.05 mg for 21–24 days. This was followed by a treatment-free interval of 4 or 7 days. This scheme, so says the paper, should give the endometrium the possibility of regression or bleeding. The duration of the treatment-free interval was made dependent on how quickly the patients observed a recurrence of their complaints.

With Lower Dosage no Loss in Therapeutic Effect

Lyon summarized the results of his study, in which 348 cycles were investigated, to the result that the ethinyl compound made possible an effective therapy for the climacteric syndrome. Bleedings when the treatment was interrupted were not the rule, and in certain cases they could be avoided by further reductions of the dose, without a corresponding decrease in therapeutic effect. The undesirable side-effects that occurred were described by the author as “minimal and transitory”133.

Hans Wiesbader and William Filler obtained similar results from an investigation of 53 patients with symptoms of ovarian insufficiency134. In their study published in 1946, and also in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, they also reported on biopsies of the endometria of 10 patients that were carried out before and during the therapy. These showed that when treated with 0.05mg ethinylestradiol per day, after 14–20 days good proliferation of the mucosa was observable in each case.

Suppression of Lactation

As well as for the treatment of the postmenopausal syndrome, ethinylestradiol was used in early clinical trials in the USA to achieve the suppression of lactation during the puerperium. In the Journal of Clinical Endocrinology a group led by Lawrence Kurzrok reported in 1942 on the treatment of 59 women in childbirth135. In these cases daily doses up to 2.4 mg were used. The therapy in each case extended over 3–4 days.

Commenting on the results it is noted that at daily doses below 1.5 mg ethinylestradiol there were, relatively, many failures (9 out of 26 cases). If 1.5–2.4 mg were given, however, lactation would almost always be effectively suppressed (one failure). Side effects did not appear. The treatment was in most cases begun 1 or 2 days post partum; in one case, however, it was begun 8 weeks after birth.

In later publications, with a larger number of patients, the results were similar. Charles H. Birnberg for example, who belonged to Kurzrok’s group of workers in New York, published figures in 1947 for the successes in weaning with ethinylestradiol136. In this publication, in which in addition other indications for the steroid hormone are discussed, it is said that effective suppression of lactation had been achieved in 75% of the 145 patients. In the case of these puerperae also, no side effects were observed. The doses used were, in all, 1.5 mg, distributed over 9 days.

Other Indications

In the USA after 1942 increasing numbers of other indications for the treatment with ethinylestradiol were tested. Thus Robert A. Lyon in 1943 reported in the journal Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics on the therapy of essential dysmenorrhea in the case of 12 patients137. The plan of the treatment was based on observations, according to which this illness occurred only in ovulatory cycles. The administration of ethinylestradiol was seen to prevent ovulation and the formation of a corpus luteum.

For the study the author monitored, by means of basal temperature curves, a total of 138 cycles of his patients. In 44 of these cycles he treated for 21–24 days with 0.05 mg ethinyl steroid. In all cases, ovulation was suppressed and the occurrence of dysmenorrheic complaints was prevented. Lyon, however, pointed out in the paper that according to his and the experiences of others, this treatment could guarantee no permanent cure. The preparation had been tolerated well by all the patients.

In 1946, William Bickers reported (in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology) on the treatment of metrorrhagia with ethinylestradiol and progesterone138. His 12 patients were younger women with strong and prolonged bleeding from proliferated endometrium with no occurrence of ovulation. The patients first received 0.3 mg
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130 [Ibid., p. 316. The author here classes ethinylestradiol, in contrast to the stilbene derivatives, as a natural estrogen.
of estrogen per day for 15 days, then on 5 further days the ethinylestradiol was combined in each case with 5 mg of progesterone administered by subcutaneous injection.

The paper records that, under the therapy the bleeding had stopped within 6 days (with just one exception). Menstrual bleeding began 5 days (at the most) after medication was interrupted. Bickers then continued in each case for two further cycles, whereby the administration of estrogen was begun always on the fifth day after the beginning of deprivation bleeding. In this way, in 90% of the women under treatment, a cyclic bleeding pattern had been induced. After completion of the treatment, 70% of the treated women retained the cycle, and in the case of half the patients ovulation had occurred (observation period 3–12 months).

The author pointed out that similar treatment trials had already been carried out in the past with stilbestrol. In these, however, incompatibility reactions had frequently forced the therapy to be stopped. In the treatment with ethinylestradiol in only one case had a small degree of nausea occurred. To sum up, it could be said that in the therapy of anovulatory bleeding, daily doses of 0.03 mg ethinylestradiol had been comparatively as effective as 5 mg diethylstilbestrol.

Therapy of Hypoplasias

In the paper by Birnberg and his colleagues cited above the treatment with ethinylestradiol of primary and secondary amenorrhoea was also discussed. In the treatment with ethinylestradiol in only one case had a small degree of nausea occurred. To sum up, it could be said that in the therapy of anovulatory bleeding, daily doses of 0.03 mg ethinylestradiol had been comparatively as effective as 5 mg diethylstilbestrol.

The author, however, pointed out at the same time that further investigations of the metabolism of the substance in the human body were desirable. At that time scarcely anything was known about it.

The Introduction of Ethinylestradiol into Therapy by Schering (1949)

Ethinylestradiol was introduced into therapy by Schering in the Federal Republic of Germany in 1949, under the trade name Progynon C. In so doing, the company was relying primarily on the clinical experience with the substance in the USA. Recommended applications were initially in the area of climacteric complaints. The tablets put on the market each contained 0.02 mg of hormone (Fig. 6).

In a letter written by the company to doctors introducing the new preparation, it is stated that Progynon C has “[…] the great advantage of being orally fully effective, well tolerated and particularly economical.” With it the difficulties, that in the past arose because of the cost of a consistent hormone therapy, could be avoided.

With reference to the clinical investigations available by 1949, a first scientific information sheet from Schering about ethinylestradiol emphasized that the hormone possesses the same physiological effects as natural estradiol, namely, formation of the proliferation phase of the endometrium, growth of the uterus as well as influencing the secondary sex characteristics and the vaginal epithelium. Furthermore, for those reasons indications for the preparation, “are practically all branches of follicular hormone therapy.” Since initially the company had to hand only comprehensive results of clinical tests on symptoms of deficiency in the climacterium, the use of Progynon C was at first restricted to that area. As examples of complaints that could be treated with the preparation, the information sheet listed, besides the class hot flushes, vertigo, sleeplessness, depression, itchy skin eruptions, inflamed joints, circulatory disturbances and migraine.

Warning against Overdosage

In the advice concerning use, Schering expressly gave warning of the consequences of overdosing. Besides generally undesirable effects (such as headaches, dizziness and nausea) delays of menstrual periods, hypermenorrhoea, hyperplasia bleeding up to severe haemorrhages as well as painful swelling of the breasts could occur. It was rec-


141 Circular issued in March, 1949. Scheringianum, Archive no S1/115. The price for an original pack with 30 tablets was then DM 1.95.


143 See in addition to this specialist literature on hormone treatment in gynaecology in the 1950s and 1960s, e.g. [Hoffmann F. Die Sexualhormonen-therapie in der Gynäkologie. 3., neu bearb. Aufl. Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Leipzig, 1959.]. The term “Pille danach” refers to the use of hormones for post-coital antinidation.
ommended to begin the treatment of climacteric complaints with one 0.02 mg tablet 3 times a day, and once it began to have an effect, slowly to reduce the dose to one tablet a day or every other day in severe cases or after castration an increase of the daily amount of up to two tablets three times a day was possible.

The initial limitation of the indication for ethinylestradiol was soon abandoned in following years, with increasing clinical use of the substance in the Federal Republic of Germany also. In combination with progestins the preparation later served to bring on cyclic bleeding in cases of primary and secondary amenorrhea. Ovulatory bleeding and premenstrual bleeding could be treated with ethinylestradiol only. In addition, the preparation found use at greater doses for weaning, as a “Pille danach”, as well as in the therapy of certain carcinomas.

The most Important Indication: Contraception
The most important area of indication, even today, for ethinylestradiol was opened – as mentioned in the introduction to this monograph – at the end of the 1950s with the introduction of hormonal contraception by Gregory Pincus (1903–1967) and his colleagues. Only 3 years after the US registration of Enovid as the first hormonal contraceptive in the world, Schering in 1961 was the first pharmaceutical company in Europe to put its own contraceptive pill Anovlar on the market. Enovid contained as its estrogen component 150µg of mestranol, the 3-methyl ether of 17α-ethinylestradiol, and 9.58 mg of norethynodrel. In Anovlar there were used, in contrast, 50µg of the unetherified substance144 and 4mg of norethisterone – an estrogen dose that already came very close to the presently widely used 30µg.

Thus ethinylestradiol had found a range of application that is granted to very few pharmaceutical products. Nothing has altered that, despite the fact that newer, orally easily used estrogen preparations have displaced ethinylestradiol for certain indications. The later developed derivatives of the compound, such as mestranol, that display hormonal activity after metabolism to ethinylestradiol, scarcely still play a part. The future must show whether other preparations can displace ethinylestradiol in hormonal contraceptives.

Discussion
If one regards the history of ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone in retrospect, the question that first arises is where are the origins of the chemical preparation of these two steroids. Although details of the development of organic chemistry cannot be discussed at this point, it should be established here that papers by the American J. Nef (1862–1915) and the Frenchman Victor Grignard (1871–1935) provided decisive pre-requisites. Nef contributed to science the fundamental knowledge of the ethinylation of aldehydes or ketones with sodium acetylide to yield substituted alkinols. Grignard later modified this principle. Both procedures, respectively known as the Nef and the Grignard reactions, belong now to especially effective methods of synthesis in preparative chemistry145.

The discovery that liquid ammonia is an excellent solvent and reagent goes back to H. P. Cady (b. 1897) and E. C. Franklin (1862–1937). They recognized that this compound possesses properties that are extremely similar to those of water. Analogously to the aquo-system of acids, bases and salts, Franklin after 1912 proposed a corresponding ammonio-system. Subsequently liquid ammonia (NH) was increasingly used in syntheses as the solvent146. Decisive is the ability of liquid ammonia to dissolve alkali metals: they are then in a very favourable form for organic reactions.

In the mid-30s, the steroid chemists in their efforts to modify as well as to synthesize these newly discovered sex hormones could draw on these insights. They thus already had available the tools that made the preparation of the ethinyl-

steroids possible. What follows will be an attempt to honour the performances of the scientists who directly or indirectly participated in the development of ethinylestradiol and ethinyltestosterone (ethisterone). It is intended that the analysis will relate to the synthesis as well as the biological and clinical testing of both substances. Particular importance in this case must be allotted to the cited German patent specifications, which appear to make questionable Inhoffen’s claim for priority in the first preparation of ethinylestradiol.

Problematic Location of Sources
The first point to be mentioned is that where there have been problems locating sources, questions must remain unanswered. On many points, only probabilities can be put forward. The problems that arise in the discussion of the facts presented in this monograph have in part already been addressed. Essentially, it is a matter of many documents having been lost during the war. Also, in connection with patents, access cannot be had to official files. Only the patent specifications still exist. The documents that led to their drawing up and the information about supplements that were sent in during the course of the patenting process over many years have, in accordance with the usual procedure, been destroyed decades ago147. At Schering a relevant exchange of letters with the “Reichspatentamt” is likewise no longer to be found.

It can be stated with certainty, that steroids had been ethinylated already by 1935. The cited patent by the Schering chemists, Serini and Strasserberger, that had been applied for on the 10th November, 1935, leaves no doubt about this, since the corresponding process is the subject of the patent claim. But what is now the answer to the question, as to whether ethinylestradiol had already been prepared in 1935? Synthesis of the substance at a yield of about 30% is described in fourth place amongst the five examples of the application in this patent.

144 See in addition [Schering AG (Hrsg). Die Pille für weibliche Fertilitätskontrolle. Symposium, Berlin, 1981: 63.]
146 Walden 1941, p. 111 et seq.
Development of Ethinylestradiol and Ethinyltestosterone

Subsequent Filing of Examples was Usual

The patent law valid in those years, until the conclusion of the notification, allowed the subsequent filing of examples that could then be included in the patent specification. A complement of the patent by Serini and Strassberger with regard to the preparation specifically of ethinylestradiol was thus fundamentally possible up to 3rd December, 1942. The substance could therewith even after a first preparation at the end of 1937 by Inhoffen still be included in the already applied for patent as an additional example of the ethinylation of steroids containing keto groups. This likewise applies to the data ascertained by Hohlweg on the physiological effectiveness of the substance. In view of the protractedness of the then procedure for the granting of a patent, a sequence of events of this kind was entirely usual.

Several indications can be found in the available sources that in the patent by Serini and Strassberger, actual use was made of subsequent filing of process examples, and that ethinylestradiol was not contained in the original application. Thus for the development of the substance Schering later made payments to several chemists for participation in the invention. In contrast to Hohlweg, Inhoffen and some other chemists, Serini was not considered. Strassberger did indeed receive a share, though a relatively small one. It may, however, be assumed that these payments can be explained in relation to another contribution to steroid chemistry: identical payments went also to Hildebrandt and Logemann whose names appear in none of the patent specifications directly linked with ethinylestradiol.

Data from the Year 1937

A further indication of the subsequent filing of ethinylestradiol in the patent in question is presented by the data cited in the patent specification concerning the physiological effectiveness of the substance. These data correspond apart from one obvious misprint exactly to those that are cited in the classic paper by Hohlweg and Inhoffen from the year 1938. The data would in 1935, had they then been available, certainly have been considered as sensational as they were later. One would therefore scarcely have refrained from a publication immediately after the patent application. Ethinylestradiol would thus have gone into clinical testing many years earlier.

In addition, Hohlweg has repeatedly emphasized that at the time in question, all newly prepared steroids were immediately subjected to a physiological test. The assumption that ethinylestradiol had possibly already been prepared in 1935, but not tested, is therefore scarcely probable.

More complicated is the situation of the cited patent filed by Kathol, that was applied for on 22nd November, 1936 and granted on 14th September, 1939. In connection with this, the author of the present monograph had to learn that limits are to be set to the theoretical consideration of scientific historical sources, disregard of which in some circumstances leads to false conclusions. The danger of false interpretations, as will be shown, is particularly great in the case of the theoretical analysis of old patent specifications.

In the patent by Kathol it happens as was pointed out that there are two processes for the preparation of ethinylestradiol, that differ enormously with regard to their efficiency. Whereas the first example of the synthesis (with a stated yield of just 3%) in principle corresponds to the other specified analogous ethinylation of substances from the androstane series, the last stated second possibility of preparing ethinylestradiol falls completely outside this framework. This second process makes use already of the elegant and particularly productive conversion in liquid ammonia, that was first described in the literature in 1937!

That being so, explanation of the circumstance whereby ethinylestradiol was first subjected to a physiological test in 1937 caused difficulties, and Kathol plainly never claimed priority for the preparation of the substance. The former only appeared plausible, if it was assumed that Kathol had refrained from the physiological test because the small yield of his process given in the patent did not allow an economical utilization. The refraining from a claim of priority may be understood – admittedly unsatisfactorily – only as the consequence of a possible in-company agreement.

“Paper Example” not Reproducible

The foundation of all the considerations set out above was the assumption that the process, given in Kathol’s patent as the first example of the synthesis

148 See in addition for example, Möller 1936, pp. 51–53. Material patents were inadmissible for food-stuffs, semi-luxuries, medicaments and substances made by chemical means. Physical chemistry was an exception: mixtures and metal alloys could mostly be protected by material patents. On alteration of the application, see Möller 1936, p. 231 et seq.

149 See in addition the file about inventor shares by Dr. Josef Kathol (Bestand PA, Schering AG). The chemists participating in the development of the process received all together, 6% of the net profit from the sale of Progynon C. Of this, Hohlweg and Inhoffen each received 1.56% and Kathol 1.04%. The rest went to Hildebrandt, Logemann and Strassberger (each 0.28%).

Kathol’s patent has likewise been subsequently filed. What, however, is the position of the synthesis example that is cited in the patent specification in the first place? With it could Kathol have succeeded in preparing ethinylestradiol before Inhoffen? Purely theoretically, this cannot be excluded. On the contrary, it even appears to give some clear hints of this.

Different Nomenclature

In the patent specification it is striking that Kathol uses a different nomenclature in the two examples of the preparation of ethinylestradiol. The first example, actually already revised in 1937, speaks of formulations of “follicle hormone” and “17-ethinylhydrofolllicular hormone”. The second, in contrast, refers to “estrone” and “17-ethinylestradiol-3,17”. This gives the impression that the first example must have been older and written before the papers by Inhoffen. Also the position of the two examples within the patent specification appears to support this view.

Rated still more significant could be the circumstance that Kathol, together with Inhoffen and Hohlweg, had received from Schering the largest inventor payment for the development of ethinylestradiol. In comparison to this, the already mentioned lower payments to several other company chemists are of secondary importance. Kathol’s contribution must have been of considerable importance.
of ethinylestradiol, is actually practicable. This assumption has in retrospect proved to be wrong: in experiments that were carried out in the main laboratory of Schering AG in February, 1989, estrone acetate could be converted to ethinylestradiol only in vanishingly small amounts. Even with modern preparative and analytical procedures it was not very easy to isolate the small amount of ethinylestradiol from the (obtained) mixture of substances in pure crystalline form. At that time one had not been able to detect it analytically, to say nothing of isolating it, it says in the discussion of the corresponding experiments.\textsuperscript{150}

Thus it can now be considered certain, that in the first process given by Kathol for the synthesis of ethinylestradiol it was a matter of a so-called “paper example”. Patent examples were labelled with this term when they were theoretically conceivable, though their practicability had never been closely investigated. They served to word a patent claim as widely as possible and thus to ensure advantages over the economic competition.

With regard to the Kathol patent, there are only two questions still unanswered. The first concerns the time at which the paper example was introduced. Here the use of the revised nomenclature justifies the assumption that it had already been a component of the original application. Final certainty could, however, be given only by the files, no longer existing, about the course of the patent granting procedure. For the judging of the question of priority, this now appears pointless. The final clarification of this question would only be of weight for a discussion of the patent law situation in which Schering found itself at that time. In another connection, this will be briefly discussed later.

The second, still open, question is thrown up by the relatively large inventor’s payment received by Kathol in connection with the development of ethinylestradiol. For what contribution of the researcher was it made, if not for that patent example? Here too, only speculation is possible. It appears not improbable, however, that with the payment the company made remuneration for the development of the process for ethinylation of ketosteroids in liquid ammonia, a process which Inhoffen could make use of in his preparation of ethinylestradiol.

**Patents do not Affect Inhoffen’s Priority**

To sum up, it is thus established that ethinylestradiol syntheses in patents applied for before 1937 do not, as at first appeared, affect Inhoffen’s priority for the preparation of this steroid. Two of these examples are of processes that, in accordance with the legal requirements in the course of the granting of a patent, had been subsequently filed. The third, in retrospect, turns out to be a “paper example” that is not practicable. This whole situation shows that the use of patent specifications for the clarification of questions of priority can be extremely problematic. It appears as a rule to be permissible only if the practicability of the processes described therein is proved to be beyond doubt.

**Consistent Continuation of Research**

In the case of the synthesis of ethinyltestosterone (ethisterone), there are from the very beginning no difficulties with regard to the question of priority. The preparation of the first artificial orally effective progestin by Inhoffen must be regarded as the result of consistent continuation of his and Hohlweg’s work on ethinylestradiol. This work was in turn based on the investigations of the Schering chemists Kathol, Logemann and Serini, who at about the same time as the Swiss chemists Ruzicka and Hofmann had developed an elegant method of ethinylating steroids with a yield of over 90%.

However, it must also be pointed out that ethinyltestosterone was actually only intended to be an intermediate in the synthesis of a 17-estradiol acid. There were no great hopes linked with this intermediate substance and it was a matter of surprise when it proved to be a highly effective estrogen. The estrogen acid aimed at, in contrast, could as was shown decades later in no way fulfill the expectations imposed on it. Ethinyltestosterone, prepared analogously to ethinylestradiol, and conceived as a possible androgen, proved, likewise quite unexpectedly, to be an active progestin. The historian must here ask himself whether chance directed events rather than purposeful scientific deliberation.

Retrospective consideration of the outlined events shows clearly that as a result of the elucidation of the structure of estrogens, derivatives of these hormones with better therapeutic applicability were very purposefully sought. Furthermore, steroid chemists endeavoured to find ways of partially or completely synthesizing the only laboriously accessible natural substances. Thus were first obtained the estrogen esters whose effect was protracted, and the estrogen acids in the course of well considered investigations. The cited efforts are those most closely linked with the names of Hohlweg, Inhoffen, Doisy and Butenandt.

**Several Factors were Decisive**

Immediately decisive in the discovery of ethinylestradiol were several factors: Hohlweg’s urge to prepare a 17-estradiol acid, the work of Kathol, Logemann and Serini on ethinylation and Inhoffen’s transfer of this reaction to estrone, as well as the physiological testing of the intermediate obtained, again by Hohlweg.

The importance of the preparatory work in Schering’s main laboratory on the ethinylation of steroids that finally ended in the productive process with the conversion in liquid ammonia, cannot be too highly esteemed. Without this decisive progress, Inhoffen would perhaps have sought for another way of synthesizing the desired estradiol acid, and the experimental test of ethinylestradiol on animals would not have been done for some time.

\textsuperscript{150} The author thanks Dr.-Ing. Henry Laurent, the then manager of the Scientific Secretariat in the Institute for Medicament Chemistry of Schering AG, for the idea of testing Example 1 in Kathol’s patent for its practicability, and carrying out the corresponding experiment. Only in this way may a false interpretation of available sources be avoided. See in addition the experiment protocol in Frobenius 1990 (Appendix, p. 97).
Development of Ethinylestradiol and Ethinyltestosterone

8 was subsequently inserted in Kathol’s patent, because the method had previously been patented for Ruzicka or CIBA, and therefore could not be patented by Schering. Since the example also occurred in the claim of Kathol’s patent, it could be “saved” in this patent specification. Another application in which the example was originally contained must possibly have been withdrawn or was rejected; only the parallel application in the USA for Hohlweg and Inhoffen succeeded. This view of the matter is supported by the fact that a German patent corresponding to the US patent cannot be found, although in the US patent reference is made to a German application on 25th October, 1937.

The physiological test of ethinylestradiol itself can, quite simply, not be regarded as a product of chance. Hohlweg has repeatedly pointed out that in his laboratory all the substances produced by syntheses had to be tested for their hormonal activity. To this systematic procedure, to which Dohrn had already in the 1920s ascribed the greatest importance at Schering,151, many other successes of the company are due. The discovery resulting from the physiological test is accounted for in what Inhoffen (in his lecture) has called the “problem of the unpredictability of the paths from fundamental research to applied chemistry”.

From this aspect also is to be viewed the preparation of ethinyltestosterone and the discovery of its progestin effect. Here, obviously, considerations of the assumed structure of the molecule had already led to the correct path, after the originally expected androgen effect had not been detectable in experiments on animals.

First Tests in the Toxic Region

Finally, the circumstances should now be discussed which accompanied the introduction of ethinylestradiol into therapy. As was comprehensively shown after the first clinical test by Clauberg, it took more than 10 years before the substance was put on the market in the Federal Republic of Germany. In marked contrast to this, ethinyltestosterone had already been released for general medical use in 1939. Closer consideration of the course of the clinical trials of ethinylestradiol is a good example of what difficulties have to be overcome in the introduction of a highly effective new medication, and how decisive in this sort of case is the effect of systematic procedure.

An essential reason for the delayed introduction of ethinylestradiol is certainly to be found in the fact that the substance, when orally administered to human beings, displays such extreme estrogenic activity. The results of the tests on animals could give only an inadequate indication of this. Hohlweg’s investigations had indeed shown that in the Allen-Doisy test on rats, 3 µg of the substance, administered orally, were effective and thus ethinylestradiol exceeded the natural estrogens by a factor of 3 to 20. The actual potency of the medication in the treatment of women could, however, not be estimated from this.

For the reasons stated, the first clinical investigators used doses that in retrospect certainly were in the toxic region. The administered amounts of the estrone derivative as in the case of the natural estrogens, their esters and also stilbestrol were in the milligram region and must have triggered massive incompatibility phenomena. Relevant observations were then soon made and communicated by Buschbeck. It is, however, extremely remarkable that there is no mention of this problem in Clauberg’s paper of 1938. Other investigators were caused by the observed sideeffects to discontinue their studies at an early stage.

Thus the incompatibility phenomena consequent upon overdosing formed the most substantial hurdle that ethinylestradiol had to take on its triumphant advance towards the goal of being the therapeutically most used estrogen. The question now arises as to why so few efforts were made in Germany to help the preparation to overcome this obstacle. The present monograph shows that the foundations for its clinical use had been laid almost exclusively through investigations by American authors during the 1940s.

Chances Wrongly Estimated?

Here an important part was certainly played by World War II. Whereas at this time researchers among the American clinicians could continue their work comparatively unhindered, for their colleagues active in Germany, conditions became steadily worse: many of them were called up for military service, and the exchange of scientific information was limited as investigations important to the war effort and the basic care of patients had absolute priority. Furthermore, since 1933 the National Socialists had discriminated against numerous outstanding researchers because of their political attitude or their race, and had forced them to emigrate or had even killed them. Under these circumstances clinical studies of an estrogenic hormone could scarcely thrive152.

When looking through the publications of Hohlweg and Inhoffen on the ethinyl compounds, however, the impression arises that the observed incompatibility phenomena in the first clinical tests of ethinylestradiol led the people in the Schering main laboratory too quickly to a pessimistic assessment of the future chances of the preparation. As an indication of this an instance may be taken which has already been referred to: in the publication about pregneninolone in the Klinische Wochenschrift of 1939 there is practically no longer any mention of ethinylestradiol, although its excellent effectiveness in women after Clauberg’s publication in 1938 must have been unquestionable. In 1950, Hohlweg himself still favoured a stilbene derivative amongst the estrogens that could be administered orally.

The reasons the Schering Corporation in the USA had for nevertheless making ethinylestradiol available for clinical trials, can only be speculated upon. Possibly the responsible staff in the American subsidiary viewed the preparation more optimistically. Perhaps they saw themselves induced to do so for competitive reasons, since ethinylestradiol was soon offered there by other companies (CIBA Pharmaceutical Products

152 Carl Kaufmann for example, who never made a secret of his rejection of National Socialism and therefore got into great difficulties, did not publish from about 1941 until the immediate postwar period. Zander wrote in his obituary on the scientist: “Heavy personal burdens, the air attacks on Berlin, besides clinical responsibilities in the Gynaecological Hospital of the Charité, no longer allowed scientific publications” [Zander 1981, p. 83].
and RocheOrganon) for clinical trials, as the papers of American clinicians show. Questions of patent law that arise from this fact cannot be considered here. It may be appropriate here to say no more than that the European steroid producers in those years had entered into various agreements not to block each others’ patents. Tausk speaks in this connection of the “Hormone cartel”153.

Undoubtedly of great importance for the relatively slow reception of ethinylestradiol was the discovery of the estrogenic effect of the stilbenes. These preparations could – as mentioned – be made easily and cheaply. They were likewise orally highly active and in the initial phase of the clinical testing (because of their wide therapeutic range) they were easier to use than the estrone derivative. They were therefore able to attract the attention of a considerably wider range of clinicians. The innumerable investigations of these compounds, carried out at the end of the 1930s and in the 1940s, provide evidence of this. But they also show, that even at that time there was a lively interest in a cheap estrogen, with good oral activity, although hormonal contraception was still far away. The first indication for use was the climacteric syndrome.

The Endometrium Synthesis Dose was Determined much Later

In the early American papers on clinical trials of ethinylestradiol, the originally used high daily doses (in the milligram range) were soon abandoned. Already from 1942 onward (Groper et al.) the hormone doses used ranged from 150 to 50 µg per day, and such doses were later also used for the first generation of contraceptives. Unfortunately, nowhere is it possible to find any indication as to what considerations or investigations were determinants for this. Thus it can only be guessed that the maximal tolerable doses were approached, so to speak, from above.

From the present standpoint this appears surprising, since with the Kaufmann experiment there already existed a model that made possible the determination of a threshold dose of a new estrogen by means of morphological findings. The investigators in the USA, however, initially restricted themselves, as Clauberg had earlier done, to fundamental detection of an estrogenic effect and testing of the compatibility of the substance. In addition to clinical observations and endometrium findings, in the many studies of the treatment of the postmenopausal syndrome, use was also made of vaginal cytology. First indications of the endometrium synthesis dose came after 1944 in papers by W. Allen, Bickers and Birnberg. Its more accurate determination remained reserved – so far as can be seen to German authors at the beginning of the 1950s. At that time, ethinylestradiol had already been introduced into therapy.

It is now known, that with ethinylestradiol at 50 µg per day a plateau in the proliferation of the endometrium is attained. The dose of 5 µg is adequate to restore an atrophied vaginal epithelium to its premenopausal condition. In a group of climacteric women, 80% could be freed of hot flushes with a dose of 15 µg per day, but an increase of this dose brought no further improvement in the result. Ovulation can certainly be stopped only with 100 µg, a circumstance that because of the clearly increased morbidity risk at this dose, leads to a contraceptive based only on ethinylestradiol appearing contraindicated154.

The position that ethinylestradiol at the present time still occupies, as the most used estrogen component of hormonal contraceptives, more than 70 years after its discovery, has already been indicated in the introduction. It has also already been mentioned, that ethinyltestosterone must be considered as forerunner of a large group of artificial progestins, that at the present time are indispensable for treatments with sex hormones. Both are here in conclusion once again called to mind, in order to underline the importance of the work that chemists, physiologists and clinicians have done in connection with the discovery of both substances.
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153 See in addition [Tausk M. Organon. The story of an unusual pharmaceutical enterprise. Published by Akzo Pharma bv, Oss, The Netherlands 1984; 88–93.]
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