

Journal für  
**Urologie und Urogynäkologie**

Zeitschrift für Urologie und Urogynäkologie in Klinik und Praxis

**Female Urology: Update in  
Reconstructive Surgery: From Minis  
to Organ-Sparing Surgery**

Kocjancic E

*Journal für Urologie und*

*Urogynäkologie 2012; 19 (Sonderheft*

*4) (Ausgabe für Österreich), 19*

Homepage:

[www.kup.at/urologie](http://www.kup.at/urologie)

Online-Datenbank mit  
Autoren- und Stichwortsuche

Indexed in Scopus

Member of the



[www.kup.at/urologie](http://www.kup.at/urologie)

Krause & Pachernegg GmbH · VERLAG für MEDIZIN und WIRTSCHAFT · A-3003 Gablitz

P. b. b. 022031116M, Verlagspostamt: 3002 Purkersdorf, Erscheinungsort: 3003 Gablitz

**Erschaffen Sie sich Ihre  
ertragreiche grüne Oase in  
Ihrem Zuhause oder in Ihrer  
Praxis**

**Mehr als nur eine Dekoration:**

- Sie wollen das Besondere?
- Sie möchten Ihre eigenen Salate,  
Kräuter und auch Ihr Gemüse  
ernten?
- Frisch, reif, ungespritzt und voller  
Geschmack?
- Ohne Vorkenntnisse und ganz  
ohne grünen Daumen?

**Dann sind Sie hier richtig**



# Female Urology: Update in Reconstructive Surgery: From Minis to Organ-Sparing Surgery

E. Kocjancic

In the past decade female urology has experienced some major changes in the evaluation and treatment of common conditions such as stress urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse. We have witnessed a shift towards less invasive procedures and organ-sparing surgery.

The concept of sparing organs is not new in medicine and there are several different reasons for organ-sparing surgery. The organ can be preserved in order to maintain its function, as for instance with kidney-sparing surgery, or psychological, as in the case of breast cancer attempts are made to conserve the body image.

In Pelvic Organ Prolapse (POP) surgery the reasons to spare the uterus are more complex and linked with patients' self-esteem, sexuality, and psychological background.

Hysterectomy is the most frequent gynecological operation after Caesarean sections and there are several reasons to perform it, the most important being uterovaginal prolapse correction.

However the effects of hysterectomy on the urogenital tracts are open to debate. Some reports say it is not associated with *de novo* or deteriorating urogenital tract symptoms while several other reports link hysterectomy with increased risk of incontinence and the need for incontinence surgery.

More specifically the role of hysterectomy in POP surgery is still debatable. Many surgeons believe hysterectomy prevents prolapse recurrence because uterus preservation may subject pelvic reconstruction to undue stress and increase the risk of prolapse recurrence. But hysterectomy alone often fails to address the underlying deficiencies in pelvic support that cause uterovaginal

prolapse. Additionally hysterectomy and the associated pelvic floor dissection may increase the risk of pelvic neuropathy and disrupt natural support structures.

Another point to consider in patients counseling is that uterus preservation can expose the patient to potential pathologies as cancer. Epidemiology studies however are indicating that the risk of cervical cancer after subtotal abdominal hysterectomy is less than 0.1 % and the incidence of endometrial cancer is only 0.2 %.

The advantages of preserving uterus are: less blood loss, shorter operating time, fewer post-operative complications, and a lower erosion rate.

There are some controversies regarding the risk of erosion in concomitant POP repair with mesh material. According to Detyrac and other investigators hysterectomy may increase infection or graft erosion given the chance of contamination from vaginal microbes. In these different trials they showed significantly higher percentages of mesh erosion in patients treated with hysterectomy (13.6–27 %) compared with uterus-sparing surgery (0–4.1 %).

On the contrary two reports by Fedorkow and Brizzolara failed in showing any differences in mesh erosion rates regarding the concomitant hysterectomy.

Uterus preservation surgery can be performed with vaginal, open abdominal, laparoscopic, and robotic approach.

Costantini published recently the results of a long-term follow-up study of urogenital prolapse repair associated with uterus preservation. Authors showed that the surgery can be effective (vaginal prolapse of less than or equal to grade 2 and cervix and/or vaginal apex

remaining well supported more than 6 centimeters above the hymen plane). Researchers also found that 82.97 % of the 47 patients were satisfied with the treatment results. None of the patients required further surgery and few patients reported persisting symptoms. Three patients reported persistence of voiding symptoms and 6 patients reported persistence of storage symptoms. Two patients reported *de novo* urgency and 4 reported *de novo* urinary incontinence. Sexual activity was maintained in 95.5 % of patients.

These findings are encouraging, because the procedures were so effective, and also because they help to dispel the myth that a hysterectomy is the only treatment for pelvic organ prolapse.

The data from the literature suggested that also on long-term follow-up uterus-sparing surgery is feasible and safe. The ideal candidates for this procedure are women without uterine or urogenital disease, usually aged between 40 and 60 years, who want to preserve their sexuality, have a marked self image, and are perceiving the procedure as an "amputation" and a loss.

## Recommended reading:

Costantini E, Mearini L, Bini V, et al. Uterus preservation in surgical correction of urogenital prolapse. *Eur Urol* 2005; 48: 642–9.

Diwan A, Rardin CR, Kohli N. Uterine preservation during surgery for uterovaginal prolapse: a review. *Int Urogynecol J* 2004; 15: 286–92.

Maher C, Baessler K, Glazener CMA, et al. Surgical management of pelvic organ prolapse in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004 (18): CD004014.

Olsen AL, Smith VJ, Bergstrom JO, et al. Epidemiology of surgically managed pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol* 1997; 98: 501–6.

## Correspondence to:

Ervin Kocjancic, MD  
 Director Pelvic Health and  
 Reconstructive Urology  
 Department of Urology  
 University of Illinois at Chicago  
 e-mail: ervkoc@gmail.com

# Mitteilungen aus der Redaktion

## Besuchen Sie unsere zeitschriftenübergreifende Datenbank

[Bilddatenbank](#)

[Artikeldatenbank](#)

[Fallberichte](#)

## e-Journal-Abo

Beziehen Sie die elektronischen Ausgaben dieser Zeitschrift hier.

Die Lieferung umfasst 4–5 Ausgaben pro Jahr zzgl. allfälliger Sonderhefte.

Unsere e-Journale stehen als PDF-Datei zur Verfügung und sind auf den meisten der marktüblichen e-Book-Readern, Tablets sowie auf iPad funktionsfähig.

[Bestellung e-Journal-Abo](#)

## Haftungsausschluss

Die in unseren Webseiten publizierten Informationen richten sich **ausschließlich an geprüfte und autorisierte medizinische Berufsgruppen** und entbinden nicht von der ärztlichen Sorgfaltspflicht sowie von einer ausführlichen Patientenaufklärung über therapeutische Optionen und deren Wirkungen bzw. Nebenwirkungen. Die entsprechenden Angaben werden von den Autoren mit der größten Sorgfalt recherchiert und zusammengestellt. Die angegebenen Dosierungen sind im Einzelfall anhand der Fachinformationen zu überprüfen. Weder die Autoren, noch die tragenden Gesellschaften noch der Verlag übernehmen irgendwelche Haftungsansprüche.

Bitte beachten Sie auch diese Seiten:

[Impressum](#)

[Disclaimers & Copyright](#)

[Datenschutzerklärung](#)