Effects of A1 adenosine receptor antagonism against ischaemia-reperfusion damage and coronary microcirculation in isolated working rat hearts


Homepage:

www.kup.at/jcbc

Online Data Base Search for Authors and Keywords
Effects of $A_1$ adenosine receptor antagonism against ischaemia-reperfusion damage and coronary microcirculation in isolated working rat hearts

P. Di Napoli$^{1,2}$, G. Contegiacomo$^1$, A. A. Taccardi$^1$, A. Maggi$^1$, M. Di Muzio$^3$, D. Statile$^1$, P. Giuliani$^4$, P. Di Iorio$^4$, A. Barsotti$^1$

Previous studies suggest that $A_1$ adenosine receptor antagonists may prevent myocardial reperfusion injury by means of an inhibition of superoxide anion release from neutrophils, leukocyte activation and platelet aggregation. We tested the hypothesis of a blood-independent endothelial protection following $A_1$ adenosine receptor antagonism with DPCPX (1,3 dipropyl,8-cyclopentylxanthine) during ischaemia-reperfusion damage.

Isolated working rat hearts were used and submitted to 10 and 20 min global ischaemia in order to assess functional alterations, necrosis enzyme and purine release in coronary effluent, arrhythmias, heart weight changes, ultrastructural morphometry and microvascular permeability by FITC-albumin diffusion technique. DPCPX (100 mM) was administered to perfusion buffer before ischaemia. In untreated hearts we detected a significant reduction of functional parameters, associated with a significant enzyme and purine release, myocardial oedema and ultrastructural damage. In DPCPX-treated hearts functional and histological damage was significantly reduced compared to controls. Moreover, a significant reduction of postschaemic endothelial hyperpermeability (FITC-albumin diffusion, $p < 0.02$) and ultrastructural damage was observed.

Our data suggest that $A_1$ adenosine receptor antagonism significantly reduces ischaemia-reperfusion damage in isolated, crystalloid perfused rat hearts by direct reduction of endothelial damage and postschaemic hyperpermeability. J Clin Basic Cardiol 1999; 2: 99–104.
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Materials and methods

Adult male Wistar rats ($n = 60$) were used and the experimental protocol was performed according to the Guidelines of the American Physiological Society. Rats were anaesthetized by an air-ether mixture. After injection of 1000 IU heparin into the femoral vein, the hearts were quickly excised, placed in Krebs-Henseleit (KH) cold buffer ($4^\circ$C) and, then, perfused according to the working heart technique [5]. The perfusion buffer used in the control group was a modified KH solution (NaCl 108 mM, NaHCO$_3$ 25 mM, KCl 4.8 mM, KH$_2$PO$_4$ 1.2 nM, MgSO$_4$ 1.2 mM, CaCl$_2$ 2.5 mM, Glucose 11 mM) bubbled with a mixture of 95 % oxygen and 5 % carbon dioxide and constantly maintained at 37 °C and pH 7.4. Preload (height of atrial chamber) and afterload (height of aortic chamber) were set at 20 and 72 cm respectively. In order to provide an $A_1$ adenosine receptor blockade we added 100 nM DPCPX (1,3-dipropyl,8-cyclopentylxanthine) to KH solution at the beginning of experimental procedures.

Hearts were divided into the following groups according to experimental protocol (Figure 1):

A. Control ($n = 20$): hearts perfused in working heart mode for 100 min.

B. 10 min ischaemia ($n = 20$): hearts subjected, after 20 min stabilization in working heart mode, to 10 min global normothermic no-flow ischaemia, 10 min Langendorff and 60 min working heart reperfusion.

C. 20 min ischaemia ($n = 20$): hearts subjected, after 20 min stabilization in working heart mode, to 20 min global normothermic ischaemia, 10 min Langendorff and 50 min working heart reperfusion.
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The experiments were carried out by perfusing hearts either with normal KH (n = 10/group) or with KH plus DPCPX 100 nM (n = 10/group).

Evaluation of heart weight
The hearts were weighed using an analytical scale (Sartorius Mod. 2842) at the beginning and the end of the experiment; results were expressed as heart weight gain (%): heart weight (gr.) before/heart weight after (gr.) x 100. In addition, three hearts from each group were dried at 105 °C for 24 hours and re-weighed to compute wet weight (ww)/dry weight (dw) ratio (ww/dw).

Left ventricular function (LVF) evaluation
We assessed LVF by measuring the following haemodynamic parameters: aortic pressure, heart rate and aortic and coronary flows. Aortic pressure (mmHg) was monitored by membrane transducer (TNF-R, Viggo-Spectramed, TNF, Oxnard, CA) connected to the aortic cannula, heart rate (bpm) was calculated with an epicardial electrocardiogram (Cardioline Studio 350/1, Remco Italy, I), aortic and coronary flows (ml/min) were measured, at time intervals, by collecting the overflow from the aortic chamber and the effluent from the heart chamber into graduate cylinders.

Coronary perfusate: release of CPK and purine
Every 10 minutes, the coronary effluent was collected in order to determine creatinephosphokinase (CPK) activity by the method of Bergmeyer and Bernt [6]. We also evaluated at 20, 30, 40, 50, 70 and 100 minutes the purines released in the perfusate (adenosine, inosine, hypoxanthine and uric acid) by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). The separation took place on Supelcosil LC-18T control column. Pump flow was maintained at a constant value of 1.3 ml/min and the quantities of the different purine compounds were measured in relation to the absorbency at 245 and 292 nm, which were then compared to appropriate standard values. A gradient system with two solvents was used. The solvents were: 100 mM ammonium dihydrogen phosphate at pH 6 (A solvent) and methanol (B solvent). Both solvents had been previously filtered with 0.2 µm filters.

Coronary microcirculation permeability evaluation
Microvascular permeability changes were evaluated by means of fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled albumin diffusion (FITC-albumin diffusion). After ischaemia (groups B and C) or starting at 20 min perfusion (group A) 4 hearts in each group were perfused in Langendorff mode, with 75 mg FITC-albumin (Sigma, Milano, I) dissolved in 200 ml KH (0.375 mg/ml). In all groups mean perfusion time was 20 min. After FITC-albumin perfusion, hearts were perfused for 3–4 min with KH in order to eliminate intravascular fluorescence. Then, hearts were excised, weighed and venules cut into 4–5 blocks. Tissue blocks were rapidly immersed in embedding medium (O.C.T. Compound, Miles, Elkhart, IN) and stored at –80 °C. Ten 5 µm sections were obtained from each tissue block and placed on a marked slide. The slides placed in a dark box for at least 1 hr and then viewed and photographed at 40x magnification under fluorescent light. FITC-albumin accumulation was quantified per section using an image analysis system (Image-Pro plus, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD). The extravasation of FITC-albumin was measured by determining the changes in integrated optical intensity (IOI) [7]. Areas containing arterioles and post-capillary venules were chosen at random and recorded. For data processing, each experimental frame was digitized into 512 x 512 pixels. Each pixel was associated with an eight-bit gray scale value (a number between 0 and 255). Gray scale values were measured in the interstitium around vessels and myocytes using an image analysis system. IOI was calculated as a sum of gray scale values in a given area and standardized in 180 x 180 pixels.

Ultrastructural analysis
Tissue specimens were fixed in 2.5 % glutaraldehyde buffered with PBS (pH 7.4), post-fixed in buffered 1.33 % osmium tetroxide, dehydrated and embedded in Epon 812 Resin. Subsequently, thin sections were cut (30–40 sections/specimen), stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and studied by electron microscopy. An average of 30 fields in each section were examined and photographed at 4500x.

Statistical analysis
All data were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and t-test. The probability of null hypothesis of < 5 % was considered statistically significant.

Results
Heart weight
In non-ischaemic hearts we detected a slight increase of heart weight gain without significant differences between groups (control: +8 ± 2 %, DPCPX 100 nM: +7.2 ± 1.5 %); in ischaemic hearts, DPCPX treatment significantly reduced postischaemic increase of weight gain (10 min ischaemia: control: +25.5 ± 4 %, DPCPX 100 nM: +12.3 ± 3.8 %, p <
0.001; 20 min ischaemia: control: +38 ± 5.5 %; DPCPX 100 nM +15 ± 4.7 %, p < 0.001). The ww/dw ratio is presented in Figure 2. The ww/dw ratio significantly increased after 10 and 20 min ischaemia; this increase was significantly reduced by DPCPX 100 nM perfusion.

Haemodynamic parameters

The haemodynamic data are reported in Table 1. In non-ischaemic hearts no significant differences were observed according to perfusion buffer used. After 10 and 20 min ischaemia DPCPX-treated hearts have shown a significantly better ventricular performance. As regards coronary flow (Figure 3), in DPCPX-treated hearts a significant improvement was observed during reperfusion whether after 10 or 20 min ischaemia.

Myocardial necrosis enzyme and purine releases

The time-course of myocardic enzyme release is detailed in Table 2. Compared to non-ischaemic hearts, a significant increase of CPK release was noticed in all groups after 10 and 20 min ischaemia. In DPCPX-treated groups this release was significantly reduced. Purine release of all groups is reported in Figure 4. Release of nucleosides (adenosine and inosine) and their metabolites (hypoxantine and uric acid) was significantly higher in DPCPX-treated hearts, without significant differences between ischaemic and non-ischaemic groups.

**Table 1.** Haemodynamic data: Systolic aortic pressure, heart rate and aortic flow. Data are reported as mean ± SD; * = p < 0.05, # = p < 0.01, ** = p < 0.001 (n = 6/group).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>DPCPX 100 nM</th>
<th>10 min ischaemia</th>
<th>10 min ischaemia + DPCPX 100 nM</th>
<th>20 min ischaemia</th>
<th>20 min ischaemia + DPCPX 100 nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic aortic pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100(13)</td>
<td>101(9)</td>
<td>98(7)</td>
<td>99(10)</td>
<td>102(9)</td>
<td>101(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>99(8)</td>
<td>98(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>97(7)</td>
<td>95(7)</td>
<td>76(9)</td>
<td>90 (11)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>95(8)</td>
<td>93(6)</td>
<td>80(10)</td>
<td>92(8)**</td>
<td>70(7)</td>
<td>90(11)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>93(7)</td>
<td>90(6)</td>
<td>84(6)</td>
<td>93(5)**</td>
<td>75(8)</td>
<td>91(10)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>90(5)</td>
<td>90(4)</td>
<td>83(4)</td>
<td>91(5)**</td>
<td>74(5)</td>
<td>90(8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart rate (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>305(19)</td>
<td>280(8)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>310(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>280(8)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>280(9)</td>
<td>310(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>270(15)</td>
<td>290(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>270(10)</td>
<td>290(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>290(15)</td>
<td>280(10)</td>
<td>300(10)</td>
<td>270(10)</td>
<td>290(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aortic flow (ml/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>60(8)</td>
<td>63 (9)</td>
<td>60(7)</td>
<td>61(10)</td>
<td>59(8)</td>
<td>61(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>59(8)</td>
<td>58(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>57(5)</td>
<td>59(4)</td>
<td>44(9)</td>
<td>55(6)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>57(8)</td>
<td>58(6)</td>
<td>47(10)</td>
<td>58(8)#</td>
<td>40(4)</td>
<td>55(7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>56(7)</td>
<td>58(5)</td>
<td>50(6)</td>
<td>60(5)**</td>
<td>45(8)</td>
<td>56(4)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>56(5)</td>
<td>58(4)</td>
<td>50(5)</td>
<td>60(5)**</td>
<td>50(6)</td>
<td>57(8)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Release of myocardic necrosis enzymes (mU/ml/grdw): CPK in the effluent perfusate in all groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. * = p < 0.002 and ** = p < 0.001 vs. controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME (min)</th>
<th>KH perfusion</th>
<th>DPCPX 100 nM</th>
<th>10 min ischaemia</th>
<th>10 min ischaemia + DPCPX 100 nM</th>
<th>20 min ischaemia</th>
<th>20 min ischaemia + DPCPX 100 nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>7 ± 2**</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
<td>6 ± 2*</td>
<td>25 ± 5.1</td>
<td>11 ± 4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
<td>3 ± 1.4**</td>
<td>18 ± 4.2</td>
<td>9 ± 3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1</td>
<td>9.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3 ± 1.4**</td>
<td>16 ± 5</td>
<td>9 ± 3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>3 ± 1.4**</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>8 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2**</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>6 ± 2.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2**</td>
<td>9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5 ± 3**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structural and functional integrity of the coronary endothelium represents the most important factor involved in the regulation of capillary-interstitium exchange. Previous studies have shown that microvascular permeability changes are observed during and after an ischaemic event, even after a short-time ischaemia, well-known to be unable to induce myocytes and/ or endothelium morphological alterations [2, 8, 9]. Endothelial permeability changes may be related to a reduction of endothelial nitric oxide production, oxygen free-radical-mediated damage, endothelial cell hypercontraction, enlargement of tight junctions and endothelial lesions [10, 11]. Adenosine production is able to modulate postschaemic hyperpermeability [2,11–14] by reducing intracellular Ca ++ concentration (A1 receptors mediated effects), platelet aggregation, leukocyte-endothelium adhesion and by modulating vascular tone and permeability (A2 receptors mediated effects).

When administered before ischaemia, a selective A1 adenosine receptor antagonist DPCPX attenuated ischaemia-reperfusion injury of the rat heart after 10 and 20 min global normothermic ischaemia and reperfusion. Haemodynamic data, enzymatic release and ultrastructural morphometry supported this effect. Thus, our data suggest that the modulation of A1 adenosine receptors activity is an important factor in the injury process after ischaemia and reperfusion, and that this cardioprotection occurs also in absence of blood perfusion (granulocytes, monocytes, platelets, complement factors and plasma proteins). If the mechanisms of this protection against reperfusion injury are still unknown, the antagonism of A1 adenosine receptors probably promotes the interaction between adenosine and A2 receptors, induces coronary vasodilatation (prearterioles resistance reduction), endothelial protection and reduction of postschaemic hyperpermeability. Moreover, this preservation of coronary microcirculation may be due to an increase of nitric oxide production and intracellular cAMP. Neely et al. [3] also reported that the effect of A1 adenosine receptor inhibitors is related to receptor selectivity and affinity, in fact, DPCPX is more effective in reducing infarct size in feline heart with respect to bamifylline and xanthine amine congeners that have a lower A1-receptor selectivity; in rats, intravenous administration of DPCPX blocked the selective A1 adenosine receptor agonist, cyclopentyladenosine induced bradycardia with little effect on the A2 adenosine receptor agonist, CGS 21680 induced decrease in blood pressure at doses of 0.03 to 30 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹, and it was suggested that DPCPX is 1000-fold more selective for A1 adenosine receptors in vivo.

Discussion

Microvascular permeability changes

Endothelial permeability changes of coronary microcirculation are reported in Figure 5. DPCPX treatment induced a light increase of perivascular fluorescence in non-ischaemic hearts, whereas the IOI unit value was unchanged with respect to controls. In ischaemic hearts, DPCPX administration significantly reduced FITC-albumin diffusion (Figure 6).

Ultrastructural data

In non-ischaemic hearts myocardial ultrastructure (fibers, mitochondria, nuclei) was well preserved without significant differences between KH and DPCPX-perfused groups. After 10 and 20 min ischaemia we detected a significant degree of interstitial oedema, mitochondria damage and fiber disruption; the nuclei presented an irregular edge mainly due to mitochondrial compression; myofibrils were disarrayed by mitochondrial swelling and crowding. Myocytes swelling of and a moderate dilation of the interfibril spaces were also noticed. After 20 min ischaemia the endothelium of the capillary appeared significantly damaged, it presented extensive swelling, blebs extended from the endothelial cells into the lumen, which was partially obstructed by numerous membrane-bound vesicles. In DPCPX-treated hearts ultrastructural ischaemic damage of myocardium was significantly reduced; particularly, an evident decrease of interstitial oedema, mitochondria swelling and endothelial damage was detected (Figure 7).

Figure 3. Time course of coronary flow (CF: ml/min) in non-ischaemic hearts (top) and after 10 (middle) and 20 min. (bottom) ischaemia. Data are expressed as mean and SD; * = p < 0.01 vs. KH perfusion.

Figure 4. Time course of coronary flow (CF: ml/min) in non-ischaemic hearts (top) and after 10 (middle) and 20 min. (bottom) ischaemia. Data are expressed as mean and SD; * = p < 0.01 vs. KH perfusion.
the endothelial damage and the microcirculatory dysfunction, against which the A1 stimulation mediated by DPCPX-induced A1 receptor blockade exerts the best cardioprotective effect.

As regards the higher purines level in coronary perfusate observed in non-ischaemic DPCPX-treated hearts, several hypotheses are possible. First, it may be the direct consequence of the A1 receptors blockade; it is reported that A1 receptors exert an inhibitory influence on membrane molecular mechanisms that control purine release via phospholipase A2 prostaglandin system [20–21]; for this reason, the A1 receptors blockade with DPCPX, by abolishing the inhibitory effect of A1 receptors increases the purine release also in absence of an ischaemic period. Second, the higher purine levels may represent an index of metabolic activation secondary to the inhibition of A1 receptor mediated negative inotropic and chronotropic stimuli. This release seems to have a pathogenic role in the protective effect of DPCPX; moreover, various studies [1, 14–18, 21] reported that adenosine modulates, at micromolar concentrations endothelial and myocytic reperfusion damage. The observation that, after ischaemia, total purine release only increased in the control group may be the consequence of the detected increase of cellular damage, in other words, the alteration of cellular membrane determines an increase of coronary permeability to purine pool diffusion. For this reason, purine release represents, in hearts subjected to 20 min ischaemia, an index of severe membrane damage, as confirmed by ultrastructural findings and microcirculatory alterations.

In isolated working rat hearts we discovered first that i) A1 adenosine receptors antagonism with DPCPX is able to re-

Figure 4. Purine release (nmol, min⁻¹, gr.dw⁻¹). Time course of nucleosides (adenosine, inosine) and metabolites (hypoxanthine and uric acid) in all groups. Data are expressed as mean and SD. * = p = 0.05; ** = p < 0.001.

Figure 5. Effects of DPCPX on microvascular permeability. Quantitative assessment of FITC-albumin extravasation expressed as IOI (integrated optical intensity; see Material and methods) units; data are expressed as mean and SD. * = p < 0.02 vs. KH.
duce reperfusion injury in isolated saline-perfused hearts; ii) this cardioprotection, in absence of blood perfusion, may be also due to direct modulation of endothelial damage of coronary microcirculation and to a reduction of coronary postschaemic hyperpermeability. As regard to a therapeutic approach, A1 adenosine receptor-mediated side effects, including negative inotropy, bradycardia or asystoly, bronchoconstriction and renal vasoconstriction or A2 adenosine receptor mediated-hypotension preclude the use of intravenous adenosine, A1 or A2 adenosine receptor antagonists. However, A1 adenosine receptor antagonists may be potentially useful in ischaemic conditions by reducing reperfusion damage and microcirculatory dysfunction.
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