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Use of daVinci Robot® in U.S. and Worldwide

G. Shah, G. Haas

The past century has seen extraordinary changes in surgical practice. The development of endoscopy has challenged the surgeon to use 2-dimensional (2D) visual image and minimal tactile sensation to perform surgical procedures. Laparoscopy forced the surgeon to learn surgical skills in reduced depth perception and use the surgical instruments counterintuitively. Use of a robot has provided a 3D image of surgical field and haptic feedback for the surgeon [1].

History of Surgical Robot / Milestones in use of Robot in surgery

The word “Robot” is derived from the Czech word ‘robota’, which means worker. A surgical robot is a computer-controlled manipulator with artificial sensing that can be programmed to carry out a range of surgical tasks.

Kwoh et al (1988) described use of a light duty industrial robot for stereotactic brain surgery. Davie et al (1991) used a modified industrial robot arm to perform transurethral resection of prostate. Wickham, in association with Imperial College, London, initially developed a five degrees of freedom (DOF) robot for percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL). The PCNL robot currently named Access Robot (PACKY-RCM) was developed in 1996, and has been superseded by the Tracker (Urobotics) in 2002. The PACKY-RCM has six DOF and is used with fluoroscopy or CT guidance to improve the accuracy of needle placement.

The ROBODOC® robotic system was first used in cementless total hip arthroplasty. The Automated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning (AESOP®) was the first commercially available robotic system used in laparoscopy in mid 1990s. It was developed by the United States Military, using seed funding, and later on went into commercial production by Computer Motion® Inc. It was the first surgical robot approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The military took an active part in the development of multi-armed surgical robots. The initial version to the 3-armed daVinci® system (Intuitive® Surgical System, Sunnyvale, CA) was first developed by researchers at the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Pentagon also participated in its development. It was intended to allow surgeons in a Mobile Advanced Surgical Hospital to operate on wounded soldiers in the battlefield. In 1998, the daVinci® system was approved for general surgical procedures (e.g. cholecystectomy) by the FDA. Later in 2004, it was approved for cardiac and urology surgery. The Zeus system (Computer Motion® Inc., Santa Barbara, CA) came into the market in 1998. It was acquired by Intuitive® Surgical Inc. and currently supports parts and service, but no new sales are made.

The modern robotic surgical system fits into 3 categories – active, semi-active and master-slave systems. The active system has artificial intelligence, allowing the robot to perform tasks autonomously under the supervision of the surgeon. Semi-active systems have an autonomous and a surgeon driven component. The master-slave system allows the surgeon to directly operate the robot from a local or remote command center. The surgeon’s movements are translated into robotic motion. The daVinci® robot falls into this category.

Prostate Cancer

Prostate cancer has gained increasing importance in the area of men’s health in the United States. According to
American Cancer Society projections, there were 235,000 new cases of prostate cancer in 2005. There are 281,000 new cases projected for 2010 and 318,000 new cases for 2015.

There are many factors influencing the treatment options in prostate cancer. They are: age of the patient, patient’s perception and value of the procedure (clinical efficiency/invasiveness of treatment option).

**Factors Influencing spread of Robotic Surgery**

### Surgeon Factors

Recently, great strides have been made to use laparoscopic skill among all surgical subspecialties, including urology. There is a steep learning curve for advanced laparoscopic procedures – e.g. radical prostatectomy, cystectomy, adrenalectomy etc. These procedures involve extensive reconstruction which is technically difficult to master laparoscopically without extensive experience. The robot allows the relatively less experienced laparoscopist to offer minimally invasive surgery to their patients with improved technical performance.

The robot (e.g. daVinci®) with motorized camera arms contains two lenses, providing a steady tireless, non-fogging, 3-dimensional magnified image. The operating surgeon controls the camera. The camera images are absolutely stable at all times. Robotic instruments provide 7 degrees of freedom, closely mimicking the actual movements of the human hand and wrist. It allows for finer maneuvers in tight spaces and improves surgical precision. The 3D image and easily manipulated articulating instruments have shortened the learning curve for the laparoscopically naïve surgeon. The use of filters for hand/arm tremors and addition of motion scaling have helped the surgeon significantly.

Pelvic open surgery for RRP requires the surgeon and assistants to adopt anatomically difficult positions causing strain on cervical and lumbar spines.

In urology, the main use of a robot for prostate surgery is due to a small deep working space, precise dissection of apex of the prostate, preservation of neurovascular bundle and urethropovesical junction reconstruction. The surgeon sits at the console which prevents any strain on the spine. The forehead is supported while leaning over a viewing box. It gives the surgeon the feeling of sitting over the patient and looking into the body. The robotic instruments are a direct extension of the surgeon’s hands. The operating surgeon is less fatigued compared to open surgery. The comfort level is further increased with elimination of gown, gloves and mask.

### Patient Factors

Robotic surgery has benefits for reduction in length of stay, absence of large incision, less narcotic usage compared to
open surgery, improved cosmesis and early return to normal activity. It also appears that robotic surgery has captured the public's imagination. Individual patients believe that a surgeon equipped with a million dollar robot may be able to do a better job for them.

Role of Intuitive Surgical

Intuitive Surgical encourages competition between local hospitals. They show statistics and how hospitals can generate increase in patient load by increasing referrals. This also spreads panic over losing the patient. They sponsor regional, national or international conferences to generate interest in robotic surgery.

Worldwide Installations

At present, there are more than 300 robotic systems installed worldwide. Around 240 systems are installed in US. All the major cancer centers and major teaching hospitals have robots. The remaining 60 systems are installed in Europe, Asia and Australia. The initial impetus to start robotic programs in Europe came from efforts to innovate the particular surgical approaches. In the United States, market forces of actual as well as perceived consequences of having or not having robotic programs played an important part in institutional decisions to invest in the daVinci Robot system. Many recently initiated programs in the United States and Asia have been motivated by the desire to "keep up" with the leaders. Over 75 % of robotic systems are installed in community based hospitals. Owning a robot because your neighbour has one is an expensive dilemma of making large investments in technology now takes up a lot of space around the operating table and at the surgical console. The robot arms are fixed to the independent floor based unit which makes it difficult and dangerous to change the configuration of the operating table once the robot is docked. At present, there are a limited number of instruments available for use. The instruments have a limited number of uses before they become unusable [3].

The robot system lacks haptic feedback. Thus, the surgeon can not feel the texture of the tissue upon which he is working or the tension on the knots, while tying. So, it is common for new robotic surgeon to break suture when tying knots until he becomes familiar with the appearance of a taut suture [3].

Cost consideration of daVinci robot system

At the present time, the four-arm system is $ 1 to 1.3 million. The yearly service contract is around $ 100,000. The operating room retrofit cost $ 5–15,000. The 3D imaging projection costs $ 35,000. The cost of training nursing staff, surgical technician and their salary/benefit package is around $ 200,000. The cost of instruments with limited number of ‘lives’ per case is around $ 400–800 [3].

Intuitive Surgical has a monopoly on the market. It sells the robot, the instruments for the robot and its service contract. As with most forms of technology, prices come down over time. For example, it is cheaper to buy a powerful computer now than one developed 10 years ago. The number of computers sold in US outnumbered the number of television sets in the last decade. These changes are due to a decrease in cost and increased use of computers in our day to day activities. It is believed that the cost of a robot will decrease over time. In contrast, in surgical robotics, no price reduction is seen in any of the devices or instruments, in the last 10 years. Intuitive Surgical has the monopoly over the FDA approved surgical robot system. The prices appear to increase, which is the main factor against rapid spread of robotic surgery. At present, the consumer is always confronted with the dilemma of making large investments in technology now that is certain to change in the future.

Limitations of daVinci robot system

The present daVinci system is heavy and cumbersome. It takes up a lot of space around the operating table and at the surgical consol. The robot arms are fixed to the independent floor based unit which makes it difficult and dangerous to change the configuration of the operating table once the robot is docked. At present, there are a limited number of instruments available for use. The instruments have a limited number of uses before they become unusable [3].

The robot system lacks haptic feedback. Thus, the surgeon can not feel the texture of the tissue upon which he is working or the tension on the knots, while tying. So, it is common for new robotic surgeon to break suture when tying knots until he becomes familiar with the appearance of a taut suture [3].

Menon and Ahlering have reported the 3D image capabili- ties and easily manipulated articulating instruments in telerobotic systems shorten the learning curve of complex procedures (e.g. radical prostatectomy), even for laparoscopically naïve surgeons. Early results have shown that RAP results are comparable with open surgery [19, 20].

Scales et al (2005) demonstrated the RAP is cost competitive at a medical center where hospitalization costs are higher. In low cost care settings, RAP would need to occur as an outpatient procedure to be cost competitive with RRP. When the case volume is more than 10 cases/week at specialist centers with dedicated robotic surgical teams, the amortized cost of the robot purchase and maintenance decreased below $ 500/case. If the operative time is decreased below 165 minutes, RAP would achieve cost equivalence to RRP. In summary, local cost structure and practice patterns, i.e. volume and operative time have a determining role as to whether RAP is a competitive technology. RAP at a higher volume center may be cost competitive with RRP in the community setting [18].

In 2 independent reports, Menon and Ahlering et al compared their personal results. The RAP operative time was similar to RRP, but there was significant reduction in blood loss, transfusion rate, hospitalization time (< 27 hours), catheterization duration and perioperative complications. They have reported similar positive margin rates (15 to 18 %), and continence rates (75 % to 80 % with no pads at 3 months). In short, experienced open uro- oncologists have achieved better results compared to open surgery with regards to recovery time and short-term outcome [19, 20]. It is still unclear if the improved visualization provided by the modern telerobotic system will translate into superior long-term outcomes.

It appears that patients and urologists are rapidly accepting the use of robots in radical prostatectomy. The number of RAP has tripled by the end of 2005.
Training

An enormous interest has been generated to perform robotic-assisted laparoscopy during the last 2 years. A good support system is important to establish the robotic program in the hospital. The training of surgeon assistants and nursing staff is crucial. Didactic and videotape sessions are predominately informational in nature. The crucial teaching involves a non-clinical and a clinical phase. Non-clinical hands-on experience is achieved via pelvic trainers, animal laboratories or cadaver dissection and Robot Intuitive® courses. In the clinical training phase, surgical skills are acquired through observing, assisting and finally performing surgery under the direct guidance and supervision of an experienced surgeon-mentor. This mentor-trainee mini-fellowship in a protected environment allows skill and confidence building of the postgraduate urologists. It will decrease the learning curve and limit the conversion rate and complications [21].

**Types of Surgical Cases done, using daVinci® Robot system**

- **Cardiac** – Cardiac revascularization, mitral valve repair, aortic ring ligation, PDA ligation
- **Thoracic** – Thymectomy, lobectomy, esophagectomy, mediastinal tumor resection
- **Urology** – Prostatectomy, cystectomy, nephrectomy, partial nephrectomy, ureteral reimplantation, pyeloplasty
- **Gynecology** – Hysterectomy, myomectomy, sacrocolpopexy, tubal reanastomosis, ovarian transposition
- **Neurosurgery** – Stereotactic retractor guidance/positioning, needle/electrode placement, skull surgery, neuroendoscopy, microneurosurgery

**Future of Robotic Surgery**

Surgical robotics has bright future. It is hoped that the telerobotic system will be equipped with integrated real-time imaging systems. Telerobots will be used for remote telesurgery, telementoring and proctoring.

Rigorous scientific evaluation is necessary before adopting robotic assistance for any surgical procedure. Legal and licensing barriers will need to be overcome before telesurgery becomes clinically viable. Randomized trials and prospective data comparing robotic to open and laparoscopic surgery are necessary. Validated patient-satisfaction surveys are also important.

Robotics is rapidly becoming a part of the operating room of the future. As surgery continues to be less invasive in the future, the instruments of our intervention will more and more be delivered via robotic or computer-assisted interface. We hope that in the future a decrease in cost will make robotic assisted surgery more affordable to many more than a privileged few [2, 3].

**Conclusions**

Robotic surgery is here to stay. The current technology as we know it is but the tip of the iceberg for what the potential applications will be in the future.
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