

Journal für
Urologie und Urogynäkologie

Zeitschrift für Urologie und Urogynäkologie in Klinik und Praxis

Firmagon: Re-designing Medical

Castration?

Tombal B

Journal für Urologie und

Urogynäkologie 2010; 17 (Sonderheft

6) (Ausgabe für Österreich), 38

Homepage:

www.kup.at/urologie

**Online-Datenbank mit
Autoren- und Stichwortsuche**

Indexed in Scopus

Member of the



www.kup.at/urologie

Krause & Pachernegg GmbH · VERLAG für MEDIZIN und WIRTSCHAFT · A-3003 Gablitz

P. b. b. 022031116M, Verlagspostamt: 3002 Purkersdorf, Erscheinungsort: 3003 Gablitz

Unsere Räucherkegel fertigen wir aus den feinsten **Kräutern** und **Hölzern**, vermischt mit dem wohlriechenden **Harz** der **Schwarzföhre**, ihrem »Pech«. Vieles sammeln wir wild in den Wiesen und Wäldern unseres **Bio-Bauernhofes** am Fuß der Hohen Wand, manches bauen wir eigens an. Für unsere Räucherkegel verwenden wir reine **Holzkohle** aus traditioneller österreichischer Köhlerlei.

»Eure Räucherkegel sind einfach wunderbar.
Bessere Räucherkegel als Eure sind mir nicht bekannt.«
– Wolf-Dieter Storl

synthetische
OHNE
Zusätze

Waldweihrauch

»Feines Räucherwerk
aus dem *Schneeberg*«
L A N D



www.waldweihrauch.at

Firmagon: Re-designing Medical Castration?

B. Tombal

Since the innovative work of Charles Huggins, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the main systemic therapy for advanced prostate cancer (PCa). Initially achieved by surgical castration or estrogens, ADT is today most often obtained by Luteinizing Hormone Releasing Hormone agonist (LHRHa). LHRHa compete with natural LHRH in binding to the pituitary receptor and suppressing the production of the luteinizing hormone (LH) and, subsequently, the secretions of testosterone (T) by the testes. Because they are agonists, initial exposure to LHRHa first causes an increase in LH and T before desensitizing the receptor so that the onset of ADT is delayed by about 3 to 6 weeks. Patients with advancing symptomatic PCa may experience transient worsening of symptoms and in dramatic but rare cases potentially lethal complications.

Degarelix is a LHRH-receptor antagonist (blocker) that immediately blocks the LHRH receptor in the pituitary, resulting therefore in a fast and sustained suppression of LH without initial stimulation [1]. The efficacy and safety of degarelix has been tested against leuprolide in a 1-year phase III trial [2]. A total of 610 patients with PCa (any stage; median age 72 years; median PSA 19.0 ng/mL) was randomized into 3 arms: degarelix one subcutaneous 240 mg starting dose followed by monthly maintenance doses of 80 mg or 160 mg for a total of 12 months, or intramuscular monthly leuprolide 7.5 mg. The primary endpoint of the trial, defined by FDA and EMEA, was suppression of testosterone to ≤ 0.5 ng/mL at all monthly measurements from day 28 to day 364, thus defining the treatment response. This was achieved by 97.2 %, 98.3 %, and 96.4 % of patients in the degarelix 240/80 mg, degarelix 240/160 mg and leuprolide groups, respectively (ITT population). At 3 days after starting treatment, testosterone levels were ≤ 0.5 ng/mL in 96.1 % and 95.5 % of patients in the degarelix 240/80 mg and

240/160 mg groups, respectively, and in none in the leuprolide group. No transient testosterone surge was seen in the degarelix arm, in contrast to leuprolide. The median PSA levels at 14 and 28 days were significantly lower in the degarelix groups than in the leuprolide group ($p < 0.001$). The hormonal side-effect profiles of the 3 treatment groups were similar to previously reported effects for androgen-deprivation therapy. The s.c. degarelix injection was associated with a higher rate of injection site reactions than with the i.m. leuprolide injection (40 % vs < 1 %; $p < 0.001$, respectively). There were no systemic allergic reactions seen with degarelix. Based on this study, the dose of 240/80 mg was chosen and then registered by FDA and EMEA.

More interestingly, and less expected, pre-planned sub-analysis showed degarelix to be significantly superior to leuprolide in decreasing the rate of PSA and alkaline phosphatase failure, especially in patient with the most advanced cancers. The first analysis focused on PSA progression-free survival (2 consecutive increases in PSA of 50 % compared with nadir and ≥ 5 ng/ml on 2 consecutive measurements at least 2 wk apart or death) [3]. Patients receiving degarelix showed a significantly lower risk of PSA progression or death compared with leuprolide ($p = 0.05$). PSA recurrences occurred mainly in patients with advanced disease and exclusively in those with baseline PSA > 20 ng/ml. Patients with PSA > 20 ng/ml had a significantly longer time to PSA recurrence with degarelix ($p = 0.04$). The second analysis has been focused on alkaline phosphatase (S-ALP) [4]. Baseline S-ALP levels were higher in metastatic patients. In metastatic disease, after initial peaks in both groups, S-ALP levels were suppressed below baseline with degarelix but were maintained around baseline with leuprolide. The late rise in S-ALP seen with leuprolide was not apparent with degarelix. The pattern of S-

ALP response was similar in patients with a baseline PSA level of ≥ 50 ng/mL. Between-treatment differences in patients with metastatic disease and those with a PSA level of ≥ 50 ng/mL were significant at day 364 ($p = 0.014$ and 0.007, respectively).

Patients with metastatic disease or those with PSA levels of ≥ 50 ng/mL at baseline had greater reductions in S-ALP levels with degarelix than with leuprolide. Patients in the degarelix group maintained S-ALP suppression throughout the study, in contrast to those in the leuprolide group.

These data were confirmed in a study extension (CS21a) in which patients receiving degarelix were allowed to cross-over to degarelix [5]. This pinpoints at an additional benefit of LHRH antagonists, beyond the initial flare suppression.

Literature:

1. Klotz L, Boccon-Gibod L, Shore ND, et al. The efficacy and safety of degarelix: a 12-month, comparative, randomized, open-label, parallel-group phase III study in patients with prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2008; 102: 1531–8.
2. Van Poppel H, Tombal B, de la Rosette JJ, et al. Degarelix: a novel gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) receptor blocker—results from a 1-yr, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 dosage-finding study in the treatment of prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2008; 54: 805–13.
3. Tombal B, Miller K, Boccon-Gibod L, et al. Additional analysis of the secondary end point of biochemical recurrence rate in a phase 3 trial (CS21) comparing degarelix 80mg versus leuprolide in prostate cancer patients segmented by baseline characteristics. *Eur Urol* 2010; 57: 836–42.
4. Schroder FH, Tombal B, Miller K, et al. Changes in alkaline phosphatase levels in patients with prostate cancer receiving degarelix or leuprolide: results from a 12-month, comparative, phase III study. *BJU Int* 2010; 106: 182–7.
5. Crawford ED, Sartor O, Chu F, et al. A 12-month clinical study of LA-2585 (45.0 mg): a new 6-month subcutaneous delivery system for leuprolide acetate for the treatment of prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2006; 175: 533–6.

Correspondance:

Bertrand Tombal, MD, PhD
Service d'Urologie
Cliniques universitaires Saint Luc
Av. Hippocrate 10
B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium
E-Mail:
Bertrand.Tombal@uclouvain.be

Mitteilungen aus der Redaktion

Besuchen Sie unsere zeitschriftenübergreifende Datenbank

[Bilddatenbank](#)

[Artikeldatenbank](#)

[Fallberichte](#)

e-Journal-Abo

Beziehen Sie die elektronischen Ausgaben dieser Zeitschrift hier.

Die Lieferung umfasst 4–5 Ausgaben pro Jahr zzgl. allfälliger Sonderhefte.

Unsere e-Journale stehen als PDF-Datei zur Verfügung und sind auf den meisten der marktüblichen e-Book-Readern, Tablets sowie auf iPad funktionsfähig.

[Bestellung e-Journal-Abo](#)

Haftungsausschluss

Die in unseren Webseiten publizierten Informationen richten sich **ausschließlich an geprüfte und autorisierte medizinische Berufsgruppen** und entbinden nicht von der ärztlichen Sorgfaltspflicht sowie von einer ausführlichen Patientenaufklärung über therapeutische Optionen und deren Wirkungen bzw. Nebenwirkungen. Die entsprechenden Angaben werden von den Autoren mit der größten Sorgfalt recherchiert und zusammengestellt. Die angegebenen Dosierungen sind im Einzelfall anhand der Fachinformationen zu überprüfen. Weder die Autoren, noch die tragenden Gesellschaften noch der Verlag übernehmen irgendwelche Haftungsansprüche.

Bitte beachten Sie auch diese Seiten:

[Impressum](#)

[Disclaimers & Copyright](#)

[Datenschutzerklärung](#)