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Abstract: The risk of cut-out of a pedicle screw is high in the presence of osteoporotic bone. In cadaver studies it was found that cement augmentation of pedicle screws markedly increases pull-out forces. However, the use of conventional low viscosity vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty cement is associated with the risk of cement extravasation. The risk might be reduced by using high viscosity, radiofrequency-activated bone cement. After performing DEXA scans, six fresh-frozen vertebral bodies of different bone densities were obtained from cadavers. Two pedicle screws (WSI Expertise-Inject, Peter Brehm, Germany) were placed in the pedicles. About 3 ml of radiofrequency-activated, ultra-high viscosity cement (ER2 Bone Cement, DFine Europe GmbH, Germany) was injected through the right pedicle. The left pedicle screw was left uncemented and served as control. Axial pullout tests were performed using a material testing device (Zwick/Roell Zmartpro, Ulm, Germany). The tests revealed that cement-augmented pedicle screws were able to withstand markedly higher pullout forces. Extravasation of cement did not occur.

Methods

Using DEXA-Scan (Siemens QDR 2000), fresh-frozen cadaver spines were investigated with regard to the presence of osteoporosis (BMD ≤ 0.8 g/cm²). Four osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic vertebral bodies of various persons were identified and freed of all soft tissue. The pedicle was visualized clinically and radiographically by the use of an image converter.

The pedicle was perforated with an awl. The Nitinol guidewire was then pushed forward into the vertebral body through the awl. The cannulated awl was removed and the pedicle screw (WSI Expertise-Inject 6 x 45 mm, Peter Brehm Company, Weisendorf, Germany) rotated inward through the guidewire. The cementing adapter was positioned and 2.5 to 3 ml of ultra-high viscosity PMMA cement (StabiliT ER2 Bone Cement, DFine GmbH, Germany) was applied mechanically (using the StabiliT® Vertebral Augmentation System, DFine GmbH, Germany) through the right pedicle screw. The left pedicle screw was left uncemented and served as control (Figure 1). Immediately before the cement leaves the StabiliT System it passes through a heating element, warmed by radiofrequency (RF), resulting in delivery of an ultra-high viscosity cement. Cement is delivered using an automated controller that contains a hydraulic drive and a RF generator (MultiPlex Controller, DFine GmbH, Germany), by operating a manual switch, at a distance of 3 meters from the source of radiation. Using a customized portion of cement, 4 to 6 pedicle screws can be augmented in a period of about 30 minutes. After radiographic documentation of the position of the screw, axial pullout was tested in a material testing machine (Zwick/Roell Zmartpro, Ulm, Germany).

Introduction

In a society with an increasing life expectancy and an advancing mean age of the population, the poor quality of bone in patients with osteoporosis is a common and technically demanding challenge for the spine surgeon, because the risk of failure of the bone-implant interface is markedly increased when pedicle screws are used in the osteoporotic vertebral body [1].

In several cadaver studies it was found that augmentation of a pedicle screw with cements like calcium phosphate or polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) significantly increases pullout forces [2–4]. Clinically, the practicability of special cannulated pedicle screws has led to their widespread acceptance for the purpose cement augmentation [5]. Augmentation with conventional low-viscosity cements causes similar complication rates as those encountered with vertebroplasty or conventional kyphoplasty. These primarily consist of cement leakage into the spinal canal, the neuroforamina or paravertebral veins [6]. In a hitherto unpublished survey conducted by H. Goost, 55% of the surveyed persons reported complications like extravasation in the ventral or dorsal aspect, incorrect positioning of the screw, or cement embolism.

The combination of a cannulated pedicle screw and radiofrequency-activated, ultra-high viscosity cement might minimize the previously mentioned risks of extravasation. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to test the pullout forces of a cannulated pedicle screw with augmentation by radiofrequency-activated PMMA in a cadaver model, and to observe the distribution of cement in this setting.
Radiofrequency-cement-augmented pedicle screws

Results

The above mentioned procedure was performed in six selected vertebral bodies with no complications such as congestion of cement during application or cement extravasation. Post-interventional control CT’s confirmed the regular position of the pedicle screws and homogeneous distribution of cement in the vertebral body. In all vertebral bodies the cement was deposited in the middle or posterior third.

Pullout forces in the osteoporotic vertebral body could be massively increased by cement augmentation. A massive increase in pullout forces was also noted in the non-osteoporotic vertebral body, but was less pronounced than that in the osteoporotic one (Table 1, Figure 2).

Discussion

In the present experimental investigation, the delivery of an ultra-high viscosity StabiliT ER² Bone Cement through a cannulated screw proved to be a safe means of achieving significant enhancement of the pullout forces of a pedicle screw in osteoporotic bone. Furthermore, no complications – in particular no cement leakages – were encountered.

Usually osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures can be treated by vertebroplasty or conventional kyphoplasty [7]. However, osteoporotic vertebral body fractures with involvement of the posterior margin (from the fracture type A3.1 onward according to the AO/Magerl classification) need additional surgical stabilization through internal fixation [8]. In addition to fracture stabilization, the treatment of degenerative spinal diseases requires the use of dorsal instrumentation in the presence of osteoporosis as well.

Guidelines as to when and how cement augmentation of pedicle screws should be performed do not exist. At the present time, the indication as well as the type of application are left to the surgeon’s discretion (Table 2).

The following procedures are used:

- Insertion of the pedicle screw, backing out the screw, injection of kyphoplasty cement and reinsertion of the screw
- Insertion of the pedicle screw, backing out the screw, kyphoplasty with cement application, reinsertion of the screw
- Insertion of a special cannulated screw and injection of cement into the screw

Figure 1: Inhomogeneous distribution of cement/extravasation

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 2: Pullout forces. BMD = bone mineral density; \( \text{F}_{\text{max}} \) = maximal pullout force
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Table 1: Summary of preparations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height of vertebral body</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>BMD (g/cm²)</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>( \text{F}_{\text{max}} ) not augmented</th>
<th>( \text{F}_{\text{max}} ) augmented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>StabiliT ER²</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>StabiliT ER²</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>Spineplex®</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>Spineplex®</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>Spineplex®</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>Spineplex®</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMD = bone mineral density; \( \text{F}_{\text{max}} \) = maximal pullout force
### Table 2: Indications for cement augmentation of pedicle screws

- Osteoporosis
- Revision after pedicle screw cut-out
- Avoidance of instrumentation over long distances

This can be avoided by the use of ultra-high viscosity cement like StabiliT ER² Bone Cement (DFine GmbH, Germany) which has a working time of about 30 minutes and was used in the present experimental study.

A limitation of this study is the small number of samples. Further evaluation in cadavers and the clinical setting will therefore be necessary. However, by way of a pilot study the present investigation showed that combining the two procedures is a successful technical approach. Therefore, this procedure may well be introduced rapidly in the clinical practice. It would offer patients with osteoporosis the advantage of a markedly reduced rate of revision surgery due to pedicle screw cut-outs as well as a reduced rate of complications due to cement leakage.

---

**Clinical relevance**

In clinical routine, the application of radiofrequency-warmed bone cement through a cannulated pedicle screw would signify a major improvement because the rate of cement extravasations can be markedly reduced and pullout forces increased. Furthermore, the procedure is associated with less radiation exposure for the surgeon because cement augmentation can be performed mechanically at a safe distance from the source of radiation. Finally, the patient also benefits from the reduced risk of cement extravasation and the possibility of minimally invasive insertion of screws and cement augmentation.
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